

City of Wapato Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan 2016-2021



Prepared by:

**City of Wapato
And**

Yakima Valley Conference of Governments



Mayor

Jesse Farias

City Council

Rita Alvarado

Rick Foss

Tony Guzmàn

Frank Jaime

Roberto Reyna

City Fire Chief/Planning Manager

Santos Valdez

City Clerk/Treasurer

Sue Pearson

Public Works Director

Menglou Wang

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| INTRODUCTION | 6 |
| SECTION 1 - DESCRIPTION OF PLANNING AREA..... | 7 |
| HISTORY | 7 |
| PHYSICAL SETTING | 7 |
| CLIMATE | 7 |
| DEMOGRAPHICS | 8 |
| SECTION 2 – EXISTING RECREATION AREAS AND FACILITIES..... | 12 |
| REGIONAL PARK FACILITIES | 12 |
| OPEN SPACE CORRIDORS | 12 |
| EXISTING LOCAL FACILITIES | 15 |
| PARK CLASSIFICATION | 15 |
| <i>Community Parks</i> | 16 |
| <i>Neighborhood Parks</i> | 16 |
| <i>Mini Parks</i> | 16 |
| <i>Walkways/Pathways</i> | 16 |
| PARKS IN WAPATO..... | 16 |
| <i>Wapato Sports Park</i> | 17 |
| <i>Lions Park</i> | 18 |
| <i>McCready Park</i> | 21 |
| <i>City Hall Park</i> | 23 |
| PUBLIC SCHOOL SITES AND FACILITIES | 24 |
| RECREATION PROGRAMS..... | 24 |
| SECTION 3 – GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES..... | 26 |
| SECTION 4 – DEMAND AND NEED ANALYSIS | 31 |
| COMMUNITY SURVEY RESULTS | 31 |
| <i>Most used facilities:</i> | 31 |
| <i>Most wanted facilities:</i> | 32 |
| <i>Park Type:</i> | 33 |
| <i>Park Development:</i> | 34 |
| SECTION 5 – CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 35 |
| <i>Federal Funding</i> | 36 |
| <i>State Funding</i> | 36 |
| <i>Local Funding</i> | 36 |
| ACTION STRATEGY | 37 |
| <i>Restroom Renovation and Replacement:</i> | 37 |
| <i>Pool Development:</i> | 37 |
| <i>Development of walking and nature trails:</i> | 37 |
| <i>Picnic Facilities:</i> | 37 |
| SECTION 6 – PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT..... | 38 |
| COMMUNITY SURVEY | 38 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| PUBLIC OUTREACH..... | 38 |
| <i>SEPA Environmental Review</i> | 38 |
| <i>February 24, 2016 Public Meeting</i> | 38 |
| <i>February 16, 2016 City Council Meeting and March 7, 2016 City Council Public Hearing</i> | 38 |
| APPENDICES..... | 39 |
| APPENDIX A – DEMOGRAPHIC DATA | 40 |
| APPENDIX B – PARKS AND RECREATION SURVEY | 78 |
| APPENDIX C – SURVEY RESULTS: ADDITIONAL RESPONSES | 83 |
| APPENDIX D – ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW | 85 |

DRAFT

Page reserved for Resolution

DRAFT

Introduction



The City of Wapato is proud of its public parks, recreation facilities, and open green spaces. The mayor wants to ensure that planning for parks and recreation continues to be a priority of the city.

Wapato is a unique thriving community with a diverse culture and heritage. The Mission of Wapato is *“To maintain and improve the quality of life and to provide superior services and public facilities for the residents and businesses in the city.”* The Vision of the city is to *“Strive to grow Wapato into the best town it can possibly be for all who currently live and work here but also for future generations to come.”* Parks and Recreation facilities fit perfectly with the Mission and Vision for the city of Wapato.

MISSION:

“To maintain and improve the quality of life and to provide superior services and public facilities for the residents and businesses in the city.”

The previous Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan was last adopted in the 1990s and it is out of date. This plan will offer a significant update for Parks and Recreation planning in Wapato and is being done in response to the community’s desires for recreation and open space facilities and provides meaningful direction for expanding and managing these facilities. This plan is consistent with the Mission and Vision of the City of Wapato, the State of Washington’s Growth Management Act requirements, and requirements outlined under the Recreation and Conservation Office Planning guidelines.

Section 1 - Description of Planning Area

History

The name Wapato is of Yakama origin, “*Wa-pa-too*”, which is an edible root of great value to native Yakamas and settlers alike. Settlers have been in the area since 1885. In 1903, the U.S. Postal Service changed the name of the town from Simcoe to Wapato, because Simcoe was too much like Fort Simcoe. It was August 1908 before the town's people voted to incorporate their reservation community with J.F. Douglas as the first Mayor. Wapato was landlocked by Yakama Nation property but was progressive enough to have a newspaper, Wapato Independent, and postal and telephone services.

Soon after incorporation, JF Barnes was appointed as the first Town Marshall. Initial accomplishments were a city park and work beginning on streets and irrigation ditches. The early revenue came from the licensing of saloons and the first lending library was started in 1908 by the Wapato Ladies Club. City Hall, police station, jail, and fire protection were 1909 projects. Power came to Wapato in 1910 and a water system in 1911.

The first Buddhist temple in Washington was built in Wapato and is still open. The primary industries of Wapato are farming and ranching. These drew many different groups of settlers that have made the town's population diverse: Japanese, Filipino, Hispanic, and white. Diversity has given Wapato its unique nature, which is celebrated throughout the year at different events. The City is still renowned for its metal sculptures, fresh fruit and vegetable stands, and nearby wineries.

Physical Setting


Wapato is located in the south-central section of Washington State, roughly in the geographic center of Yakima County. The city lies along U.S. Highway 97, approximately 10 miles from the Yakima metropolitan area. Nearby cities and towns include Zillah, Toppenish, Union Gap, Yakima, Parker, and Harrah. To the northeast of the city limits is the Yakima River. The floodplain of the river extends into the northern part of the town.

The City of Wapato is also entirely within the reservation boundary of the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation.

Climate

The climate for the Yakima Valley and City of Wapato is generally characterized as being mild and dry, influenced by both the maritime and continental climates and modified by the Cascade and Rocky Mountains.

Summers are sunny with daily temperatures ranging from 65 to 90 degrees Fahrenheit. The dry air results in rapid temperature declines after sunset providing cool evening temperatures, usually into the 50's. Temperatures of 100 degrees or more occur from time to time during the months of July and August. With an average 300 days of sunshine each year, there are plenty of opportunities for residents to get outside and enjoy our parks and recreation facilities.




Source: <http://www.city-data.com/city/Wapato-Washington.html>

Precipitation in the area follows the west coast marine climate, exhibiting the typical late fall and early winter maximum rainfall. The average annual precipitation is 6.5 inches. Snowfall is generally light, with an average cumulative seasonal snowfall ranging from 20 to 25 inches.

Demographics

The City of Wapato has grown steadily since its incorporation in 1908 with a 2010 population of 4,997 (2010 Census) and an estimated 2015 population of 5,040 (OFM, 2015). Table 1.1 shows the Census population by decade and the associated rate of increase. The average rate of growth over the past 50 years within the city has ranged from a low of -0.94% per year between 1960 and 1970, to a high of 18.02% per year between 1910 and 1920. Growth rates were relatively high from 1980 through 2000. Since 2000, however, growth appears to be slowing down. There was only a 9% increase from the 2000 – 2010 Census, and the OFM estimates for recent years are around a 1% growth rate since 2010.




| Table 1.1 - City of Wapato Population Trends | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Year | Census Population | OFM Population Estimate | Total Change Per Decade | Average Change Per Year | Percent Change Per Decade | Average Percent Change Per Year |
| 1910 | 400 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1920 | 1,128 | - | 728 | 72.8 | 182% | 18.20% |
| 1930 | 1,222 | - | 94 | 9.4 | 8% | 0.83% |
| 1940 | 1,483 | - | 261 | 26.1 | 21% | 2.14% |
| 1950 | 3,185 | - | 1,702 | 170.2 | 115% | 11.48% |
| 1960 | 3,137 | - | -48 | -4.8 | -2% | -0.15% |
| 1970 | 2,841 | - | -296 | -29.6 | -9% | -0.94% |
| 1980 | 3,307 | - | 466 | 46.6 | 16% | 1.64% |
| 1990 | 3,795 | - | 488 | 48.8 | 15% | 1.48% |
| 2000 | 4,582 | - | 787 | 78.7 | 21% | 2.07% |
| 2010 | 4,997 | - | 415 | 41.5 | 9% | 0.91% |
| 2015 | - | 5,040 | 43 | 4.3 | 1% | 0.09% |
| Source - 2010 Census, 2015 OFM Estimate | | | | | | |

The age group which imposes the greatest demand on public park and recreation facilities is school age children. As Table 1.2 below shows, the school age population of 5-19 years accounts for approximately 30% of the city's total population. In reviewing the percent of persons in each age group from 2000 to 2010, there isn't much change which suggests that the age-makeup of the City will remain relatively constant. The 20-34 age group accounts for approximately 23% of the total population. The Median age in Wapato is 24.9 years.

| Age Group | Number of Persons in 2000 | Percentage of Persons in 2000 | Number of Persons in 2010 | Percentage of Persons in 2010 | Change from 2000 to 2010 | Percentage change from 2000 to 2010 |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 65+ | 384 | 8.4% | 333 | 6.7% | -51 | -13.3% |
| 55-64 | 264 | 5.8% | 315 | 6.3% | 51 | 19.3% |
| 45-54 | 411 | 9.0% | 489 | 9.8% | 78 | 19.0% |
| 35-44 | 571 | 12.5% | 629 | 12.6% | 58 | 10.2% |
| 25-34 | 645 | 14.1% | 728 | 14.6% | 83 | 12.9% |
| 20-24 | 387 | 8.4% | 449 | 9.0% | 62 | 16.0% |
| 15-19 | 440 | 9.6% | 513 | 10.3% | 73 | 16.6% |
| 10-14 | 436 | 9.5% | 478 | 9.6% | 42 | 9.6% |
| 5-9 | 508 | 11.1% | 496 | 9.9% | -12 | -2.4% |
| Under 5 | 536 | 11.7% | 567 | 11.3% | 31 | 5.8% |
| TOTAL | 4,582 | 100.0% | 4,997 | 100.0% | 415 | 9.1% |


Source - 2000 and 2010 Census

The following graph compares the poverty rate and income statistics for the City of Wapato with Yakima County and the State of Washington. Wapato is below the Median Household and Family income levels for Yakima County, which is significantly below the average state levels. The poverty level in Wapato is significantly higher than that of Yakima County, which is higher than Washington State (2006-2013 ACS estimates and 2015 Income Survey). Wapato’s lower average household/family income and higher poverty rate highlights the importance of having low-cost recreational options for Wapato.



Wapato’s Hispanic/Latino population has also seen a large increase since the 2000 Census. The Census has a separate category for people who identify as Hispanic or Latino, as it is considered an ethnicity, not a race. The growing number of Hispanic persons in Wapato is important to the future of parks and recreation planning. Our 2015 survey was made available

in both English and Spanish to ensure that all people are able to provide their input. Providing park amenities and programs that cater to all ethnicities in Wapato is a top priority as the city moves forward. All demographic data can be found in Appendix A.



Section 2 – Existing Recreation Areas and Facilities

Regional Park Facilities

Residents of the City of Wapato have access to a variety of regional park and recreation facilities outside of the city. Mt. Rainier National Park which is operated by the National Park Service and Mt. Adams which is managed jointly by the United States Forest Service and the Yakama Nation are both approximately 3 hours by automobile. These areas provide residents with activities such as hiking, camping, sight-seeing and nature observation and are geared more for adults and families who have more than one day to visit and explore the various features.

Regional facilities closer to the City of Wapato include the Toppenish Wildlife Refuge and the Sunnyside Wildlife Area, both of which are 10 to 20 miles south of Wapato. Both of these areas offer trails and bird watching. There are also four State parks within a 60-mile radius of Wapato which offer a more traditional park experience. These State parks are: Fort Simcoe, Sacajawea, Brooks Memorial and Yakima Sportsman State Park.

Open Space Corridors

The Growth Management Act (GMA) requires cities to identify open space corridors within and between urban growth areas. These corridors must include lands that are useful for recreation, wildlife habitat, trails and connection of critical areas. The Yakima Greenway, Yakima River Corridor, and the Lower Valley Pathway are major resources for providing open space corridors. The river itself is a potential corridor for recreational travel.

The Yakima Greenway is a local non-profit that manages a 20+ miles trail system that spans from the Town of Naches to Union Gap. The closest portion of the trail is in Union Gap at Valley Mall Blvd., approximately 10 miles north of Wapato. If the Yakima Greenway is extended south to the lower valley, Wapato could be a potential area for additional trailhead and/or parking facilities.

The Lower Valley Pathway is cooperatively maintained by Yakima County, Benton County and the cities of Grandview, Sunnyside and Prosser. Each respective jurisdiction maintains that portion of the pathway lying within its boundaries. Restroom facilities, picnic shelters, benches and limited handicap accesses are available at various points along the pathway. The trail is open to walking, jogging and bicycles. Currently, this pathway ends in Sunnyside which is approximately 25 miles from Wapato.

The 2014 Yakima County Trails Plan identifies Wapato as being within the proposed 40-mile long 'Lower Valley Trail' corridor and interfacing with a potential trail corridor east to White Swan.

As bicycle tours of Yakima Valley wineries grow in popularity, such connections to the Yakima Greenway and Lower Valley Pathway could be an economic asset as well as a local recreational amenity. While trail corridors have value as a local recreational resource, the City should continue promoting the integration of the trail as part of a linked bicycle touring system to attract visitors.

Areas in the flood plain of the Yakima River offer wildlife habitat and recreation corridor potential. Direct access to the Yakima River is currently not available as the river lies about one mile north of the City of Wapato.

While some of the flood plain area may have restricted access or not be readily accessible, other areas may be suitable for wildlife viewing, nature study, or similar activities. Where development has not occurred along the riverbank, the areas should be evaluated for such uses depending on accessibility.





Figure 2

**Bike and Pedestrian Routes
Yakima County**

LEGEND:

| | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Park & Rides | Railroads |
| Parks & Trailheads | All Roads |
| Existing Trails | City Limits |
| Proposed Trails/Corridors | Urban Growth Boundary |

Copyright (C) 2014 Yakima County Geographic Information Services

Existing Local Facilities

Based on a detailed Geographic Information Systems (GIS) analysis it was determined that the City of Wapato is currently providing 52.03 acres of city owned park recreation areas (see Table 2.1). The Wapato area has approximately 97 acres available for recreational purposes when land provided by the Wapato School District and private entities is added to the City's acreage.

Level of service standards are often used to assess the need for additional park and recreation facilities. Many communities have adopted standards based on the National Recreation and Park Association's (NRPA) guidelines. NRPA recommends a total of 6.25 to 10.5 acres of open space per 1000 people. Additionally, NRPA suggests a classification system for parks based on their service area. The different types of parks, such as neighborhood or community parks vary in size and service area, with community parks having a service area of a 1 to 2 miles radius.

Using both of these NRPA guidelines the City of Wapato has sufficient park and open space areas. Wapato's 2015 population of 5,040 (2015 OFM estimate) and 52.03 acres of parkland is at the upper end of the NRPA guidelines of supplying between 31.5 and 52.9 acres of recreation and open space land for that population. Wapato does the NRPA's second service area suggestion of having a classification system for the different community, neighborhood, mini-parks, and pathways, as demonstrated below.

| Park | Acreage |
|--------------------|----------------|
| Wapato Sports Park | 30 |
| Lions Park | 19 |
| McCready Park | 2.65 |
| City Hall Park | 0.38 |
| TOTAL | 52.03 |

While park acreage in Wapato is near the higher end of the NRPA guidelines, the vast majority of the acreage (94%) is contained at Wapato Sports Park (30 acres) and nearby Lions Park (19 acres) which are located in the southeastern part of the city. Wapato Sports Park is also only partially developed, so the actual useable space is even less. The central city area contains McCready Park and City Hall Park, which round out the remaining acreage of only 6% usable area. Residents of the central part of Wapato have quick access to all parks. The northern area of Wapato, across the railroad tracks, does not contain any parks and recreation facilities and is therefore considered as underserved.

Park Classification

As part of this Plan, the existing parks will be classified based upon their size and/or park type. There are three main types of parks: Community Parks, Neighborhood Parks, and Mini-Parks. Also included in the classification scheme are Greenways/Pathways.

Community Parks

These parks are usually 20 or more acres, or have amenities of regional significance. Community Parks generally see the most use from people who travel to them, rather than those who live near them, although used by all. These parks are where you will find the larger ball fields, Community Center, and the swimming pool. The Community Parks in Wapato include: Wapato Sports Park and Lions Park.

Neighborhood Parks

At 3+ acres, these parks are small to medium sized and normally attract the immediate neighborhood. Unlike the larger community parks, neighborhood parks do not offer a very extensive list of amenities. The Wapato Neighborhood Parks include: McCready Park

Mini Parks

The smaller Mini Parks, or Pocket Parks, at around 1 acre or less include the smallest parks in the City. These small areas of green space are located throughout Wapato in neighborhoods and the downtown alike. Wapato's Mini Parks include: City Hall Park

Walkways/Pathways

Wapato lies within the Rattlesnake Hills Tourist Trail, but does not have any designated pathways or walkways that traverse through the city, other than sidewalks. Wapato Sports Park and Lions Park have existing asphalt pathways that are internal to the parks.

Parks in Wapato

The following pages provide a detailed inventory and history for each individual park in Wapato. Also included is a list of future needs for each park, which will be discussed in greater detail in [Section 4 – Demand and Needs Analysis](#).

Wapato Sports Park

Wapato Sports Park is a 30-acre complex in the southeast corner of the City. The multi-use site has quick access from U.S. 97. A substantial amount of planning was completed for the park in 2006. Unfortunately, due to a lack of funds the park hasn't been fully developed.



Current Amenities:

- Parking
- Playground
- Restrooms
- Open Space
- 2 Softball Fields
- Shade Trees
- Picnic Area
- Walking Trail

Future Needs:

- 3 Youth Soccer Fields
- 2 Adult Soccer Fields
- 2 Basketball Courts
- 2 Additional 300-foot Softball Fields
- Multiple large and small picnic shelters
- Concrete Plaza and Concessions area
- Additional Parking
- ADA compatible parking areas
- Additional 8-foot asphalt trail
- New restroom
- Park identification sign



Wapato Sports Park

Lions Park

Lions Park is a 19-acre park in south Wapato. Located west of the Wapato Sports Park and within walking distance from five schools, Lions Park is heavily used. The vast park is a stop on the lower valley ‘Rattlesnake Hills Tourist Trail’ and boasts several amenities, including the only outdoor swimming pool and the community center. Previously, the pool was operated under a 3-year contract with the Yakama Nation. The contract was not renewed, and the pool has not been operated since 2014.



Tourist Trail sign at Lions Park

Current Amenities:

- Olympic Swimming Pool
- Parking
- Playground
- Restrooms
- Open Space
- Community Center
- Shade Trees
- Picnic Areas
- Soccer Fields
- Walking Trail
- Veterans Memorial

Future Needs:

- Upgraded pool facility
- Splash Park
- Additional Picnic Shelter
- ADA accessible playground
- Additional Walking Trail Areas and Connections
- Basketball Courts
- Additional restroom
- Lighting
- Park Identification Sign






Lions Park

McCready Park

McCready Park is a 2.65-acre neighborhood park located on the west side of Wapato on West 3rd Street. The park is also home to the Wapato Early Learning Center, which occupies the west half of the park land.



McCready Park

Current Amenities:

- Parking
- Playground
- Open Space
- Shade Trees
- Basketball Courts
- Lighting

Future Needs:

- Paved parking area
- Upgraded/ADA Accessible Playground
- Renovate existing basketball courts
- Picnic facilities
- Park Identification Sign



Basketball Court in McCreedy Park

City Hall Park

City Hall Park is a small 0.38 acre park that sits behind City Hall on Simcoe Avenue. The park provides a beneficial piece of open space near the downtown area.

Current Amenities:

- Small Playground
- Open Space
- Shade Trees
- Pathway
- Picnic Table

Future Needs:

- Upgraded/ADA Accessible Playground
- Picnic facilities
- Park Identification Sign
- Water Feature
- Lighting



City Hall Park



Public School Sites and Facilities

The City of Wapato and the Wapato School District do not have a formal agreement to share use of each other's facilities. However, there are some school district facilities such as ballfields and playgrounds that are open to the public during daylight hours. As population growth continues to occur in Wapato, demand for these facilities will increase. It may be beneficial for the city and school district to create a formal agreement if the demand and needs for facilities increases in the future.

Recreation Programs

The City of Wapato does not currently offer any recreation programs. As population increases, there may be a need to reestablish recreation programs in the future. With 41.1% of Wapato's population under the age of 19 (2010 Census) the demand for some type of recreation program will likely increase.

Section 3 – Goals, Objectives and Policies

The Goals, Objectives and Policies set forth in this section were derived from public comment, previous plans, best practices, and City staff input. This plan views goals as both the starting and the finishing point – the future vision of parks and recreation in Wapato that needs to be planned for and built today. To be effective, goals must be realistically achievable. Typically, goals are long-range and remain unchanged throughout the active life of the comprehensive plan. They may, however, evolve and change over time in response to altered circumstances.

Objectives are the tools for implementing the broader goals. They comprise specific measurable tasks to be completed, and represent points at which operations are directed. Typically a goal is broken down into a number of objectives that are sequential in nature. Policies are the underlying building blocks – or the directions that specify how an objective is to be met. Policies provide the details and guidance for administrators and ensure consistent action throughout the department and the community.

Goal 1: To continue to be responsive to direction received from the Mayor and City Council concerning parks and open space.

Policy 1.1: To follow the City of Wapato Mission to maintain and improve the quality of life and to provide superior services and public facilities for the residents and businesses in the city.

- Objective 1.1.1: Because of the popularity of swimming across all age-groups in Wapato, actively pursue funding to re-open the swimming pool at Lions Park.
- Objective 1.1.2: Continue to assess community support for a new or renovated swimming pool.
- Objective 1.1.3: Replace outdated restrooms, and/or establish new restrooms within the park and trail system to meet demand.
- Objective 1.1.4: Continue to meet the leisure time needs of all age groups and populations of the community with a diverse spectrum of recreational program offerings.

Goal 2: To maintain and expand existing parks and recreation facilities at a level of service that meets the public’s desire for safe, clean, and enjoyable parks and facilities.

Policy 2.1: Adequately fund the annual maintenance and operation requirements of park services.

Objective 2.1.1: Provide appropriate resources to maintain past and future investments in park infrastructure at an appropriate level of service.

Objective 2.1.2: Explore opportunities for leveraging local money for park maintenance and renovation through both public and private grant funding.

Policy 2.2: Utilize and expand existing parks and recreation facilities to a level of service that maximizes their benefits to the Wapato Community.



Goal 3: To promote further coordination, cooperation, and unity between agencies, organizations and entities who provide recreational facilities and programs for public participation and enjoyment.

Policy 3.1: Work with the Wapato School District to assess the potential of an agreement with the City of Wapato for the joint use of Wapato School District facilities.

Objective 3.1.1: Work closely with the Wapato School District to develop programs that will deter students from gang involvement and other destructive activities.

Policy 3.2: Work closely with community youth organizations to strengthen the quality of life for young people in the community.

Objective 3.2.1: To develop and maintain a bilingual youth program resource guide to help inform parents of positive leisure opportunities for their families.

Objective 3.2.2: Lend support in terms of facility usage, public relations, and other resources to strengthen youth programs.

Policy 3.3: Encourage the development of privately owned parks, recreation and open space amenities in the City of Wapato.

Goal 4: To develop trails and open space areas that meet the current and future demands and needs of both individual city neighborhoods and the community at large.

Policy 4.1: Pursue the conversion of existing irrigation easements into new walking, running and biking trails for recreational purposes and for use as transportation links to and from public facilities.

Objective 4.1.1: Develop and implement a plan that identifies which easements would be most beneficial to the Wapato community and the transportation network.

Objective 4.1.2: Explore opportunities for public and private grant funding, including Transportation Alternative Program (TAP) funds, in the development of a City-Wide irrigation easement trails network.

Policy 4.2: Explore cost effective methods to develop nature trails and access to the Yakima River while maintaining the biological integrity of sensitive shoreline areas.

Goal 5: Promote the beauty and attractiveness of the Wapato community through the efforts of volunteers and volunteer programs.

Policy 5.1: Establish volunteer beautification programs and work towards city-wide implementation of other beautification programs.

Objective 5.1.1: Explore the potential to implement new city-wide programs such as the Tree City USA program, the Adopt-A-Park program and the Neighborhood Home of the Month program.

Goal 6: Explore future opportunities to re-establish recreation programs.

Policy 6.1: Examine the feasibility of hiring a full or part-time staff person to administer and run recreation programs.

Objective 6.1.1: Solicit volunteer organizations that may wish to provide supervision and/or materials for new programs.

Objective 6.1.2: Consider a “pilot program” to evaluate the efficiency of running new recreation program(s).

Goal 7: Work with the Yakama Nation to provide additional park facilities and recreation programs.

Policy 7.1: Develop a working relationship with the Yakama Nation to jointly provide facilities within the Wapato city limits.

Goal 8: Work with BNSF on the potential development of a new park facility on their existing property.

Growth Management Act

Included below are the 13 Growth Management Act (GMA) Planning Goals, as found in RCW 36.70A.020. The only GMA goal specific to Parks and Recreation is Goal 9. However, the establishment and continuation of existing park land is complementary to several goals.

(1) Urban growth. Encourage development in urban areas where adequate public facilities and services exist or can be provided in an efficient manner.

(2) Reduce sprawl. Reduce the inappropriate conversion of undeveloped land into sprawling, low-density development.

(3) Transportation. Encourage efficient multimodal transportation systems that are based on regional priorities and coordinated with county and city comprehensive plans.

(4) Housing. Encourage the availability of affordable housing to all economic segments of the population of this state, promote a variety of residential densities and housing types, and encourage preservation of existing housing stock.

(5) Economic development. Encourage economic development throughout the state that is consistent with adopted comprehensive plans, promote economic opportunity for all citizens of this state, especially for unemployed and for disadvantaged persons, promote the retention and expansion of existing businesses and recruitment of new businesses, recognize regional differences impacting economic development opportunities, and encourage growth in areas experiencing insufficient economic growth, all within the capacities of the state's natural resources, public services, and public facilities.

(6) Property rights. Private property shall not be taken for public use without just compensation having been made. The property rights of landowners shall be protected from arbitrary and discriminatory actions.

(7) Permits. Applications for both state and local government permits should be processed in a timely and fair manner to ensure predictability.

(8) Natural resource industries. Maintain and enhance natural resource-based industries, including productive timber, agricultural, and fisheries industries. Encourage the conservation of productive forest lands and productive agricultural lands, and discourage incompatible uses.

(9) Open space and recreation. Retain open space, enhance recreational opportunities, conserve fish and wildlife habitat, increase access to natural resource lands and water, and develop parks and recreation facilities.

(10) Environment. Protect the environment and enhance the state's high quality of life, including air and water quality, and the availability of water.

(11) Citizen participation and coordination. Encourage the involvement of citizens in the planning process and ensure coordination between communities and jurisdictions to reconcile conflicts.

(12) Public facilities and services. Ensure that those public facilities and services necessary to support development shall be adequate to serve the development at the time the development is available for occupancy and use without decreasing current service levels below locally established minimum standards.

(13) Historic preservation. Identify and encourage the preservation of lands, sites, and structures that have historical or archaeological significance.

Section 4 – Demand and Need Analysis

Assessing the demand for park and recreational facilities in Wapato is based on the results from the community survey, priorities set by the staff, and comments received from the Mayor, and City Council. While the type of park facilities the community demands vary greatly depending on the demographic group surveyed, there are some common needs among the groups.

Community Survey Results

The Community Survey, the complete details of which can be found in Appendix B, is instrumental to the future success of this comprehensive plan update. Some key results from the 29 participants who took the survey are listed below and are the baseline for determining the Demand and Need Analysis as we plan for the future of parks in Wapato.

Most used facilities:

The top facilities indicated in the survey include Pathways, Picnic Facilities, and Open Space. The following figure shows all responses of what facilities are most used.




Figure 4.1

In examining the most popular park amenities along with the most used parks, it is easy to see the correlation in park popularity with park amenities:




Figure 4.2

When comparing Figures 4.1 and 4.2, the parks with the most amenities are the most used. This relationship between amenities and park-usage is important for deciding where and what new facilities will be built in the future, as well as ensuring that appropriate maintenance protocols are in place for existing facilities. Lions Park, the most-used park by more than 30%, coincidentally has the broadest opportunities for park users.

Most wanted facilities:

In addition to asking what facilities are most-used by Wapato residents, we also asked what facilities are most-wanted in the community. All facilities and amenities from the “most uses” question were included, along with a variety of other park amenities such as restrooms, trees, parking, benches, etc. Below, Figure 4.3 shows all responses showing what amenities residents want to see more of in their parks.




Figure 4.3

Similar to the results shown in Figure 4.1, park users want to see more of the facilities they use the most – swimming pools, basketball courts, playgrounds, and pathways. Restrooms, however, were the number one most-wanted amenity that residents want to see more of. It is anticipated that the majority of any new park amenities will be placed in either Lions Park or Wapato Sports Park. However, depending on the size and scale of new park amenities there are opportunities for additional amenities in both McCready Park and City Hall Park.

Park Type:

To further complement the above findings, when asked what kind of new parks should be developed (see Figure 4.4), the number one response was Community Parks (37%) and followed by Neighborhood Parks (25%). Clearly, the larger Community (20+ acres) and medium-size Neighborhood (3+ acres) are the favorites, most likely because a larger number of desired park amenities can be placed there. The third place with 18% is new areas for Greenways and Pathways, which coincides with the popularity of walking/biking trails in the area.

Park Development:

The survey responses regarding the potential development of any new parks lean heavily towards multi-use parks. This is consistent with responses for desired park type and park amenities. There is a broad distribution of desired new park amenities, which in turn falls within the category of a multi-use park. Figure 4.5 shows the total distribution.




Figure 4.4




Figure 4.5

Section 5 – Capital Improvement Program

Table 5.1. City of Wapato Capital Improvement Program 2016-2021

| Project Name | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | Potential Funding Source(s) |
|----------------------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| | Estimated cost per year of project implementation | | | | | | |
| Swimming Pool Boiler Replacement | \$150k | | | | | | City, RCO, Private, Service Orgs. |
| Playground Equipment Upgrades | | | \$10k | \$15k | \$20k | \$25k | City, RCO, Private, Service Orgs. |
| Soccer Field Goal Posts | | \$3k | \$3k | | | | City, RCO, Private, Service Orgs. |
| Basketball Courts | | \$15k | | \$15k | | | City, RCO, Private, Service Orgs. |
| Picnic Shelter | | | \$20k | | \$20k | | City, RCO, Private, Service Orgs. |
| Pedestrian Path Lighting | \$7k | | \$7k | | \$7k | | City, RCO, Private, Service Orgs. |

CDBG – Community Development Block Grant

RCO – Washington State Recreation and Conservation Office

TAP – Transportation Alternatives Program

The Capital Improvement Program presented above was prepared in response to the community survey and comments received from staff, the Mayor, and City Council. The highest priorities were given to those existing facilities which are not adequate or which have not yet been fully developed, which is in-line with Goal 2: “To maintain and expand existing parks and recreation facilities at a level of service that meets the public’s desire for safe, clean, and enjoyable parks and facilities.” The objectives of the Capital Improvement Program have been to identify and substantiate the City’s recreational service needs and to establish a program to meet those needs.

There are multiple funding options available to the City of Wapato for improvements or

expansions to existing park facilities. These various options include federal, state, and local funding sources.

Federal Funding

Wapato has access to two primary federal funding sources for park system enhancements. The first is the competitive Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program. The Washington State Department of Commerce CDBG Program is funded by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The purpose of the state CDBG Program is to improve and maintain the economic and physical environment of eligible, non-entitlement cities and counties in order to enhance the quality of life for low and moderate income (LMI) residents. LMI is defined as 80% of county median income.

The second federal funding source available to Wapato can be used only for park and recreation facilities that have surface transportation as their primary objective. The Federal Transportation Acts have provided a 10 percent set-aside from the Surface Transportation Program (STP), known as the Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP). The TAP program was created to invest in a more balanced, multi-modal approach to mobility and accessibility. The purpose of the TAP is to fund projects that allow communities to strengthen the local economy, improve the quality of life, and enhance the travel experience for people traveling by all modes.

State Funding

The primary state funding source for Wapato is the State of Washington's Recreation and Conservation Office (RCO). RCO administers several grant programs for recreation and habitat conservation purposes. To be considered for funding assistance, most grant programs require that the proposed project will be operated and maintained in perpetuity for the purposes for which funding is sought. Most grant programs also require that sponsors complete a systematic planning process prior to seeking RCO funding (this plan).

Grants are awarded by the [RCO Advisory Committee](#) based on a public, competitive process which weighs the merits of proposed projects against established program criteria. RCO grants are an important funding source for Wapato and have helped finance projects in the past: Development of soccer fields at Lions Park in 2011, and development of Wapato Sports Park in 1991.

Local Funding

The 2016 Parks and Recreation Department estimated budget is \$27,800. This amount includes funds for maintaining and operating park facilities. Some potential options for dedicated revenue are the Metropolitan Parks District or Parks and Recreation Service Area. These are options available for voter approval under the guidelines of RCW 35.61 and RCW 36.68.

Other sources of funding will be explored, depending upon eligibility. The City of Wapato has a strong tradition of local support which has enabled the City to provide matching funds for grants.

Matching funds in the past have included the use of local funds, bonds, and private donations of labor and materials.

Action Strategy

The following action strategies have been developed to accomplish the priorities identified in the capital improvement program. These strategies are described below.

Restroom Renovation and Replacement:

There is a continuous need for improving and upgrading various components of all park facilities within the system. In many cases, park restroom facilities are very old, in need of continuous repair, are frequently vandalized, and do not meet ADA guidelines. The survey asked “What Parks and Recreation amenities would you like to see more of?” Of the 19 choices which ranged from baseball/softball fields to water features, restrooms ranked number one by capturing 11% of all responses.

As funds become available, the City should strive to replace outdated restroom facilities with permanent, durable, units that meet ADA requirements. Cost per unit, including installation, is around \$20,000 each. The decision of whether to replace or renovate specific restrooms will be made on a case by case basis and will factor in cost as well as ensuring the restrooms are compliant with the ADA.

Pool Development:

Swimming facilities tied for 2nd place in the most wanted amenities, along with Walking and Nature Trails and Picnic Facilities. The Wapato swimming pool at Lions Park has not been operated since 2014 due to budget restraints. The re-opening of the swimming pool is a high priority to residents. In addition to re-opening the pool, Wapato could pursue the development of spray parks.

Development of walking and nature trails:

Developing walking/nature trails is another high priority from the survey. Walking and biking are inexpensive recreation opportunities for residents and are relatively cheap to construct and maintain. The City of Wapato should pursue not only the addition of trails within parks but also a trail system that connects residents to/from parks to shopping and other service organizations.

Picnic Facilities:

Picnic facilities are another inexpensive form of recreation. A simple picnic table or small picnic shelter will see several hours of use, especially during the spring and summer months. Picnic shelters can also be a source of revenue if they are rented out for parties and family gatherings.

Section 6 – Public Involvement

Community Survey

The community survey (Appendix B) was the primary tool used to gather information from the residents of Wapato. The two page survey was available during the months of June and July, 2015. Copies of the survey, in English and Spanish, were sent out with utility billings and also provided at City Hall. A total of 29 surveys were returned and scored.

The survey was provided in English and Spanish, and included questions related to Park Usage, Park and Equipment Condition, Future Planning, Demographics, and an additional space people could use to write in long-form responses. The scored survey results were largely positive; however, it also showed where Wapato Parks and Recreation can be improved. The results of each survey were tallied and analyzed. Information gathered from these surveys was used to help prioritize facility needs and identify problem areas.

Public Outreach

SEPA Environmental Review

A notice of Environmental Review and Determination of Non-Significance was sent to SEPA agencies and published in the newspaper on January 28, 2016. There were no comments submitted. The DNS and SEPA Checklist are contained in Appendix D.

February 24, 2016 Public Meeting

X residents attended the public meeting. A brief presentation was given on the elements of the plan and a constructive discussion followed.

February 16, 2016 City Council Meeting and March 7, 2016 City Council Public Hearing

The Wapato City Council held an Open-Record public hearing on the proposed 2016-2021 Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan. Staff presented a brief overview of the plan to council. The floor was open for public comment and no comments were received. There was brief deliberation from council who made a motion to approve the plan as presented. The motion was passed unanimously.

Appendices

DRAFT

Appendix A – Demographic Data

U.S. Census Bureau

AMERICAN FactFinder

QT-P3 | Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin: 2010
2010 Census Summary File 1

NOTE: For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/sf1.pdf>.

Geography: Wapato city, Washington

| Subject | Number | Percent |
|---|--------|---------|
| RACE | | |
| Total population | 4,997 | 100.0 |
| One race | 4,801 | 96.1 |
| White | 858 | 17.2 |
| Black or African American | 14 | 0.3 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | 329 | 6.6 |
| American Indian, specified [1] | 251 | 5.0 |
| Alaska Native, specified [1] | 2 | 0.0 |
| Both American Indian and Alaska Native, specified | 1 | 0.0 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native, not specified | 75 | 1.5 |
| Asian | 64 | 1.3 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 7 | 0.1 |
| Some Other Race | 3,529 | 70.6 |
| Two or More Races | 196 | 3.9 |
| Two races with Some Other Race | 118 | 2.4 |
| Two races without Some Other Race | 59 | 1.2 |
| Three or more races with Some Other Race | 10 | 0.2 |
| Three or more races without Some Other Race | 9 | 0.2 |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | | |
| Total population | 4,997 | 100.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino (of any race) | 4,208 | 84.2 |
| Mexican | 3,936 | 78.8 |
| Puerto Rican | 8 | 0.2 |
| Cuban | 2 | 0.0 |
| Other Hispanic or Latino [2] | 262 | 5.2 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 789 | 15.8 |
| RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO | | |
| Total population | 4,997 | 100.0 |
| One race | 4,801 | 96.1 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 4,051 | 81.1 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 750 | 15.0 |
| Two or More Races | 196 | 3.9 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 157 | 3.1 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 39 | 0.8 |

X Not applicable.

[1] "American Indian, specified" includes people who provided a specific American Indian tribe, such as Navajo or Blackfeet. "Alaska Native, specified" includes people who provided a specific Alaska Native group, such as Inupiat or Yup'ik.

[2] This category is comprised of people whose origins are from the Dominican Republic, Spain, and Spanish-speaking Central or South American countries. It also includes general origin responses such as "Latino" or "Hispanic."

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Summary File 1, Tables P5, P9, PCT4, PCT5, PCT8, and PCT11.



DP-1 Profile of General Population and Housing Characteristics: 2010

2010 Demographic Profile Data

NOTE: For more information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/dpsf.pdf>.

Geography: Wapato city, Washington

| Subject | Number | Percent |
|------------------------|--------|---------|
| SEX AND AGE | | |
| Total population | 4,997 | 100.0 |
| Under 5 years | 567 | 11.3 |
| 5 to 9 years | 496 | 9.9 |
| 10 to 14 years | 478 | 9.6 |
| 15 to 19 years | 513 | 10.3 |
| 20 to 24 years | 449 | 9.0 |
| 25 to 29 years | 368 | 7.4 |
| 30 to 34 years | 360 | 7.2 |
| 35 to 39 years | 320 | 6.4 |
| 40 to 44 years | 309 | 6.2 |
| 45 to 49 years | 258 | 5.2 |
| 50 to 54 years | 231 | 4.6 |
| 55 to 59 years | 180 | 3.6 |
| 60 to 64 years | 135 | 2.7 |
| 65 to 69 years | 107 | 2.1 |
| 70 to 74 years | 80 | 1.6 |
| 75 to 79 years | 62 | 1.2 |
| 80 to 84 years | 46 | 0.9 |
| 85 years and over | 38 | 0.8 |
| Median age (years) | 24.9 | (X) |
| 16 years and over | 3,366 | 67.2 |
| 18 years and over | 3,146 | 63.0 |
| 21 years and over | 2,838 | 56.8 |
| 62 years and over | 414 | 8.3 |
| 65 years and over | 303 | 6.1 |
| Male population | | |
| Under 5 years | 293 | 5.9 |
| 5 to 9 years | 245 | 4.9 |
| 10 to 14 years | 232 | 4.6 |
| 15 to 19 years | 242 | 4.8 |
| 20 to 24 years | 245 | 4.9 |
| 25 to 29 years | 193 | 3.9 |
| 30 to 34 years | 179 | 3.6 |
| 35 to 39 years | 169 | 3.4 |
| 40 to 44 years | 153 | 3.1 |
| 45 to 49 years | 128 | 2.6 |
| 50 to 54 years | 129 | 2.6 |
| 55 to 59 years | 91 | 1.8 |
| 60 to 64 years | 76 | 1.5 |
| 65 to 69 years | 51 | 1.0 |
| 70 to 74 years | 33 | 0.7 |

| Subject | Number | Percent |
|--|--------|---------|
| 75 to 79 years | 32 | 0.6 |
| 80 to 84 years | 22 | 0.4 |
| 85 years and over | 10 | 0.2 |
| Median age (years) | 25.1 | (X) |
| 16 years and over | 1,714 | 34.3 |
| 18 years and over | 1,609 | 32.2 |
| 21 years and over | 1,453 | 29.1 |
| 62 years and over | 192 | 3.8 |
| 65 years and over | 148 | 3.0 |
| Female population | 2,474 | 49.5 |
| Under 5 years | 274 | 5.5 |
| 5 to 9 years | 251 | 5.0 |
| 10 to 14 years | 246 | 4.9 |
| 15 to 19 years | 271 | 5.4 |
| 20 to 24 years | 204 | 4.1 |
| 25 to 29 years | 175 | 3.5 |
| 30 to 34 years | 181 | 3.6 |
| 35 to 39 years | 151 | 3.0 |
| 40 to 44 years | 156 | 3.1 |
| 45 to 49 years | 130 | 2.6 |
| 50 to 54 years | 102 | 2.0 |
| 55 to 59 years | 89 | 1.8 |
| 60 to 64 years | 59 | 1.2 |
| 65 to 69 years | 56 | 1.1 |
| 70 to 74 years | 47 | 0.9 |
| 75 to 79 years | 30 | 0.6 |
| 80 to 84 years | 24 | 0.5 |
| 85 years and over | 28 | 0.6 |
| Median age (years) | 24.7 | (X) |
| 16 years and over | 1,842 | 32.9 |
| 18 years and over | 1,537 | 30.8 |
| 21 years and over | 1,385 | 27.7 |
| 62 years and over | 222 | 4.4 |
| 65 years and over | 185 | 3.7 |
| RACE | | |
| Total population | 4,997 | 100.0 |
| One Race | 4,601 | 96.1 |
| White | 858 | 17.2 |
| Black or African American | 14 | 0.3 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | 329 | 6.6 |
| Asian | 64 | 1.3 |
| Asian Indian | 6 | 0.1 |
| Chinese | 0 | 0.0 |
| Filipino | 52 | 1.0 |
| Japanese | 1 | 0.0 |
| Korean | 4 | 0.1 |
| Vietnamese | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other Asian [1] | 1 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 7 | 0.1 |
| Native Hawaiian | 2 | 0.0 |
| Guamanian or Chamorro | 0 | 0.0 |
| Samoa | 3 | 0.1 |
| Other Pacific Islander [2] | 2 | 0.0 |
| Some Other Race | 3,529 | 70.6 |

| Subject | Number | Percent |
|--|--------|---------|
| Two or More Races | 196 | 3.9 |
| White; American Indian and Alaska Native [3] | 33 | 0.7 |
| White; Asian [3] | 10 | 0.2 |
| White; Black or African American [3] | 2 | 0.0 |
| White; Some Other Race [3] | 71 | 1.4 |
| Race alone or in combination with one or more other races: [4] | | |
| White | 986 | 19.8 |
| Black or African American | 23 | 0.5 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | 422 | 8.4 |
| Asian | 109 | 2.2 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 13 | 0.3 |
| Some Other Race | 3,657 | 73.2 |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | | |
| Total population | 4,997 | 100.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino (of any race) | 4,208 | 84.2 |
| Mexican | 3,936 | 78.8 |
| Puerto Rican | 8 | 0.2 |
| Cuban | 2 | 0.0 |
| Other Hispanic or Latino [5] | 262 | 5.2 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 789 | 15.8 |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE | | |
| Total population | 4,997 | 100.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 4,208 | 84.2 |
| White alone | 457 | 9.1 |
| Black or African American alone | 3 | 0.1 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 49 | 1.0 |
| Asian alone | 16 | 0.3 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 2 | 0.0 |
| Some Other Race alone | 3,524 | 70.5 |
| Two or More Races | 157 | 3.1 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 789 | 15.8 |
| White alone | 401 | 8.0 |
| Black or African American alone | 11 | 0.2 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 280 | 5.6 |
| Asian alone | 48 | 1.0 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 5 | 0.1 |
| Some Other Race alone | 5 | 0.1 |
| Two or More Races | 39 | 0.8 |
| RELATIONSHIP | | |
| Total population | 4,997 | 100.0 |
| In households | 4,810 | 96.3 |
| Householder | 1,240 | 24.8 |
| Spouse [6] | 634 | 12.7 |
| Child | 1,988 | 39.8 |
| Own child under 18 years | 1,502 | 30.1 |
| Other relatives | 634 | 12.7 |
| Under 18 years | 299 | 6.0 |
| 65 years and over | 35 | 0.7 |
| Nonrelatives | 314 | 6.3 |
| Under 18 years | 45 | 0.9 |
| 65 years and over | 12 | 0.2 |
| Unmarried partner | 145 | 2.9 |
| In group quarters | 187 | 3.7 |
| Institutionalized population | 133 | 2.7 |
| Male | 78 | 1.6 |

| Subject | Number | Percent |
|---|--------|---------|
| Female | 55 | 1.1 |
| Noninstitutionalized population | 54 | 1.1 |
| Male | 35 | 0.7 |
| Female | 19 | 0.4 |
| HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE | | |
| Total households | 1,240 | 100.0 |
| Family households (families) [7] | 1,045 | 84.3 |
| With own children under 18 years | 684 | 55.2 |
| Husband-wife family | 634 | 51.1 |
| With own children under 18 years | 417 | 33.6 |
| Male householder, no wife present | 129 | 10.4 |
| With own children under 18 years | 73 | 5.9 |
| Female householder, no husband present | 282 | 22.7 |
| With own children under 18 years | 194 | 15.6 |
| Nonfamily households [7] | 195 | 15.7 |
| Householder living alone | 145 | 11.7 |
| Male | 79 | 6.4 |
| 65 years and over | 26 | 2.1 |
| Female | 66 | 5.3 |
| 65 years and over | 40 | 3.2 |
| Households with individuals under 18 years | 802 | 64.7 |
| Households with individuals 65 years and over | 227 | 18.3 |
| Average household size | 3.88 | (X) |
| Average family size [7] | 4.12 | (X) |
| HOUSING OCCUPANCY | | |
| Total housing units | 1,293 | 100.0 |
| Occupied housing units | 1,240 | 95.9 |
| Vacant housing units | 53 | 4.1 |
| For rent | 28 | 2.2 |
| Rented, not occupied | 1 | 0.1 |
| For sale only | 8 | 0.6 |
| Sold, not occupied | 3 | 0.2 |
| For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use | 4 | 0.3 |
| All other vacants | 9 | 0.7 |
| Homeowner vacancy rate (percent) [8] | 1.3 | (X) |
| Rental vacancy rate (percent) [9] | 4.3 | (X) |
| HOUSING TENURE | | |
| Occupied housing units | 1,240 | 100.0 |
| Owner-occupied housing units | 616 | 49.7 |
| Population in owner-occupied housing units | 2,448 | (X) |
| Average household size of owner-occupied units | 3.97 | (X) |
| Renter-occupied housing units | 624 | 50.3 |
| Population in renter-occupied housing units | 2,362 | (X) |
| Average household size of renter-occupied units | 3.79 | (X) |

X Not applicable.

[1] Other Asian alone, or two or more Asian categories.

[2] Other Pacific Islander alone, or two or more Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander categories.

[3] One of the four most commonly reported multiple-race combinations nationwide in Census 2000.

[4] In combination with one or more of the other races listed. The six numbers may add to more than the total population, and the six percentages may add to more than 100 percent because individuals may report more than one race.

[5] This category is composed of people whose origins are from the Dominican Republic, Spain, and Spanish-speaking Central or South

American countries. It also includes general origin responses such as "Latino" or "Hispanic."

[6] "Spouse" represents spouse of the householder. It does not reflect all spouses in a household. Responses of "same-sex spouse" were edited during processing to "unmarried partner."

[7] "Family households" consist of a householder and one or more other people related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. They do not include same-sex married couples even if the marriage was performed in a state issuing marriage certificates for same-sex couples. Same-sex couple households are included in the family households category if there is at least one additional person related to the householder by birth or adoption. Same-sex couple households with no relatives of the householder present are tabulated in nonfamily households. "Nonfamily households" consist of people living alone and households which do not have any members related to the householder.

[8] The homeowner vacancy rate is the proportion of the homeowner inventory that is vacant "for sale." It is computed by dividing the total number of vacant units "for sale only" by the sum of owner-occupied units, vacant units that are "for sale only," and vacant units that have been sold but not yet occupied; and then multiplying by 100.

[9] The rental vacancy rate is the proportion of the rental inventory that is vacant "for rent." It is computed by dividing the total number of vacant units "for rent" by the sum of the renter-occupied units, vacant units that are "for rent," and vacant units that have been rented but not yet occupied; and then multiplying by 100.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.



QT-P3 Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000

Census 2000 Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent Data

NOTE: For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, definitions, and count corrections see <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf>

| Subject | Wapato city, Washington | |
|--|-------------------------|---------|
| | Number | Percent |
| RACE | | |
| Total population | 4,582 | 100.0 |
| One race | 4,328 | 94.5 |
| White | 1,169 | 25.5 |
| Black or African American | 23 | 0.5 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | 428 | 9.3 |
| American Indian | 386 | 8.4 |
| Alaska Native | 8 | 0.2 |
| Both American Indian and Alaska Native | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native, not specified | 34 | 0.7 |
| Asian | 70 | 1.5 |
| Asian Indian | 2 | 0.0 |
| Chinese | 0 | 0.0 |
| Filipino | 57 | 1.2 |
| Japanese | 11 | 0.2 |
| Korean | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vietnamese | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other Asian category | 0 | 0.0 |
| Two or more Asian categories | 0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian | 0 | 0.0 |
| Samoan | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guamanian or Chamorro | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other Pacific Islander category | 0 | 0.0 |
| Two or more Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander categories | 0 | 0.0 |
| Some other race | 2,638 | 57.6 |
| Two or more races | 254 | 5.5 |
| Two races including Some other race | 178 | 3.9 |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 76 | 1.7 |
| Two races excluding Some other race | 68 | 1.5 |
| Three or more races | 8 | 0.2 |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | | |
| Total population | 4,582 | 100.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino (of any race) | 3,492 | 76.2 |
| Mexican | 3,202 | 69.9 |
| Puerto Rican | 3 | 0.1 |
| Cuban | 3 | 0.1 |
| Other Hispanic or Latino | 284 | 6.2 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 1,090 | 23.8 |
| RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO | | |
| Total population | 4,582 | 100.0 |
| One race | 4,328 | 94.5 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3,292 | 71.8 |

| Subject | Wapato city, Washington | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| | Number | Percent |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 1,036 | 22.6 |
| Two or more races | 254 | 5.5 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 200 | 4.4 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 54 | 1.2 |

(X) Not applicable.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 1, Matrices P3, P4, PCT4, PCT5, PCT8, and PCT11.

| Subject | Wapato city, Washington | |
|---|-------------------------|---------|
| | Number | Percent |
| Some other race | 2,638 | 57.6 |
| Two or more races | 254 | 5.5 |
| Race alone or in combination with one or more other races [3] | | |
| White | 1,370 | 29.9 |
| Black or African American | 43 | 0.9 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | 496 | 10.8 |
| Asian | 110 | 2.4 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 3 | 0.1 |
| Some other race | 2,822 | 61.6 |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE | | |
| Total population | 4,582 | 100.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino (of any race) | 3,492 | 76.2 |
| Mexican | 3,202 | 69.9 |
| Puerto Rican | 3 | 0.1 |
| Cuban | 3 | 0.1 |
| Other Hispanic or Latino | 284 | 6.2 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 1,090 | 23.8 |
| White alone | 579 | 12.6 |
| RELATIONSHIP | | |
| Total population | 4,582 | 100.0 |
| In households | 4,470 | 97.6 |
| Householder | 1,198 | 26.1 |
| Spouse | 642 | 14.0 |
| Child | 1,847 | 40.3 |
| Own child under 18 years | 1,454 | 31.7 |
| Other relatives | 520 | 11.3 |
| Under 18 years | 240 | 5.2 |
| Nonrelatives | 283 | 5.7 |
| Unmarried partner | 88 | 1.9 |
| In group quarters | 112 | 2.4 |
| Institutionalized population | 106 | 2.3 |
| Noninstitutionalized population | 6 | 0.1 |
| HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE | | |
| Total households | 1,198 | 100.0 |
| Family households (families) | 972 | 81.1 |
| With own children under 18 years | 638 | 53.3 |
| Married-couple family | 642 | 53.6 |
| With own children under 18 years | 429 | 35.8 |
| Female householder, no husband present | 230 | 19.2 |
| With own children under 18 years | 159 | 13.3 |
| Nonfamily households | 226 | 18.9 |
| Householder living alone | 186 | 15.5 |
| Householder 65 years and over | 92 | 7.7 |
| Households with individuals under 18 years | 730 | 60.9 |
| Households with individuals 65 years and over | 248 | 20.7 |
| Average household size | 3.73 | (X) |
| Average family size | 4.10 | (X) |
| HOUSING OCCUPANCY | | |
| Total housing units | 1,267 | 100.0 |
| Occupied housing units | 1,198 | 94.6 |
| Vacant housing units | 69 | 5.4 |
| For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use | 2 | 0.2 |
| Homeowner vacancy rate (percent) | 1.3 | (X) |
| Rental vacancy rate (percent) | 5.6 | (X) |
| HOUSING TENURE | | |
| Occupied housing units | 1,198 | 100.0 |
| Owner-occupied housing units | 660 | 55.1 |
| Renter-occupied housing units | 538 | 44.9 |
| Average household size of owner-occupied unit | 3.78 | (X) |

| Subject | Wapato city, Washington | |
|--|-------------------------|---------|
| | Number | Percent |
| Average household size of renter-occupied unit | 3.67 | (X) |

(X) Not applicable.

[1] Other Asian alone, or two or more Asian categories.

[2] Other Pacific Islander alone, or two or more Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander categories.

[3] In combination with one or more other races listed. The six numbers may add to more than the total population and the six percentages may add to more than 100 percent because individuals may report more than one race.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 1, Matrices P1, P3, P4, P6, P9, P12, P13, P17, P18, P19, P20, P23, P27, P28, P33, PCT5, PCT8, PCT11, PCT15, H1, H3, H4, H5, H11, and H12.

| Subject | Wapato city, Washington | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Total | | Below poverty level | | Percent below poverty level | |
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Estimate | Margin of Error | Estimate | |
| Female | 754 | +/-123 | 229 | +/-111 | 30.4% | |
| Unemployed | 366 | +/-125 | 106 | +/-58 | 29.0% | |
| Male | 206 | +/-81 | 48 | +/-36 | 23.3% | |
| Female | 160 | +/-84 | 58 | +/-40 | 36.3% | |
| WORK EXPERIENCE | | | | | | |
| Population 16 years and over | 3,165 | +/-187 | 984 | +/-219 | 31.1% | |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | 973 | +/-178 | 126 | +/-46 | 12.9% | |
| Worked part-time or part-year in the past 12 months | 1,269 | +/-200 | 408 | +/-181 | 32.2% | |
| Did not work | 923 | +/-176 | 450 | +/-137 | 48.8% | |
| All individuals below: | | | | | | |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 583 | +/-212 | (X) | (X) | (X) | |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 2,308 | +/-352 | (X) | (X) | (X) | |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 2,984 | +/-310 | (X) | (X) | (X) | |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 3,476 | +/-280 | (X) | (X) | (X) | |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 3,802 | +/-244 | (X) | (X) | (X) | |
| Unrelated individuals for whom poverty status is determined | | | | | | |
| Male | 270 | +/-83 | 52 | +/-34 | 19.3% | |
| Female | 242 | +/-73 | 107 | +/-46 | 44.2% | |
| Mean income deficit for unrelated individuals (dollars) | 7,258 | +/-1,728 | (X) | (X) | (X) | |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | | | | | | |
| Worked less than full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | 147 | +/-55 | 0 | +/-17 | 0.0% | |
| Did not work | 127 | +/-64 | 29 | +/-24 | 22.8% | |
| Did not work | 238 | +/-69 | 130 | +/-48 | 54.6% | |
| PERCENT IMPUTED | | | | | | |
| Poverty status for individuals | 30.3% | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | |

| Subject | Wapato city, Washington Percent below poverty level Margin of Error |
|---|---|
| Population for whom poverty status is determined | +/-7.6 |
| AGE | |
| Under 18 years | +/-10.8 |
| Related children under 18 years | +/-10.8 |
| 18 to 64 years | +/-7.0 |
| 65 years and over | +/-16.7 |
| SEX | |
| Male | +/-7.3 |
| Female | +/-9.0 |
| RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN | |
| One race | +/-7.7 |
| White | +/-9.2 |
| Black or African American | +/-85.6 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | +/-29.7 |
| Asian | +/-100.0 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | ** |
| Some other race | +/-14.5 |
| Two or more races | +/-27.4 |
| Hispanic or Latino origin (of any race) | +/-8.3 |
| White alone, not Hispanic or Latino | +/-8.9 |
| EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT | |
| Population 25 years and over | +/-6.0 |
| Less than high school graduate | +/-6.6 |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | +/-8.8 |
| Some college, associate's degree | +/-8.3 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | +/-12.8 |
| EMPLOYMENT STATUS | |
| Civilian labor force 16 years and over | +/-7.8 |
| Employed | +/-8.5 |
| Male | +/-6.6 |
| Female | +/-13.4 |
| Unemployed | +/-14.9 |
| Male | +/-15.0 |
| Female | +/-27.1 |
| WORK EXPERIENCE | |
| Population 16 years and over | +/-6.7 |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | +/-4.6 |
| Worked part-time or part-year in the past 12 months | +/-10.6 |
| Did not work | +/-9.1 |
| All individuals below: | |
| 50 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 125 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 150 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 185 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 200 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| Unrelated individuals for whom poverty status is determined | +/-9.2 |
| Male | +/-11.8 |
| Female | +/-15.5 |

| Subject | Wapato city, Washington Percent below poverty level Margin of Error |
|--|---|
| Mean income deficit for unrelated individuals (dollars) | (X) |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | +/-19.7 |
| Worked less than full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | +/-19.8 |
| Did not work | +/-12.7 |
| PERCENT IMPUTED | |
| Poverty status for individuals | (X) |

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see Accuracy of the Data). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

While the 2009-2013 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the February 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas; in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural population, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 5-Year American Community Survey

Explanation of Symbols:

1. An '***' entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.
2. An 'v' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
3. An 'l' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.
4. An 'u' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
5. An '****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.
6. An '*****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.
7. An 'N' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.
8. An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

| Subject | Wapato city, Washington | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------|
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Percent | Percent Margin of Error |
| Management, business, science, and arts occupations | 256 | +/-89 | 14.9% | +/-4.9 |
| Service occupations | 432 | +/-133 | 25.2% | +/-7.7 |
| Sales and office occupations | 208 | +/-77 | 12.1% | +/-4.3 |
| Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations | 455 | +/-123 | 26.6% | +/-6.7 |
| Production, transportation, and material moving occupations | 362 | +/-109 | 21.1% | +/-5.8 |
| INDUSTRY | | | | |
| Civilian employed population 16 years and over | 1,713 | +/-155 | 1,713 | (X) |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining | 474 | +/-134 | 27.7% | +/-7.7 |
| Construction | 30 | +/-27 | 1.8% | +/-1.5 |
| Manufacturing | 67 | +/-38 | 3.9% | +/-2.3 |
| Wholesale trade | 133 | +/-64 | 7.8% | +/-3.6 |
| Retail trade | 132 | +/-70 | 7.7% | +/-4.0 |
| Transportation and warehousing, and utilities | 199 | +/-91 | 11.6% | +/-5.1 |
| Information | 0 | +/-17 | 0.0% | +/-1.9 |
| Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing | 9 | +/-13 | 0.5% | +/-0.8 |
| Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services | 44 | +/-39 | 2.6% | +/-2.2 |
| Educational services, and health care and social assistance | 382 | +/-108 | 22.3% | +/-6.1 |
| Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services | 131 | +/-115 | 7.6% | +/-6.6 |
| Other services, except public administration | 40 | +/-31 | 2.3% | +/-1.8 |
| Public administration | 72 | +/-39 | 4.2% | +/-2.3 |
| CLASS OF WORKER | | | | |
| Civilian employed population 16 years and over | 1,713 | +/-155 | 1,713 | (X) |
| Private wage and salary workers | 1,410 | +/-162 | 82.3% | +/-5.6 |
| Government workers | 221 | +/-68 | 12.9% | +/-3.8 |
| Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers | 82 | +/-57 | 4.8% | +/-3.3 |
| Unpaid family workers | 0 | +/-17 | 0.0% | +/-1.9 |
| INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2013 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS) | | | | |
| Total households | 1,259 | +/-102 | 1,259 | (X) |
| Less than \$10,000 | 109 | +/-57 | 8.7% | +/-4.2 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 165 | +/-62 | 13.1% | +/-4.6 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 179 | +/-68 | 14.2% | +/-5.1 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 204 | +/-66 | 16.2% | +/-5.0 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 283 | +/-80 | 22.5% | +/-6.5 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 223 | +/-60 | 17.7% | +/-4.9 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 54 | +/-30 | 4.3% | +/-2.4 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 42 | +/-33 | 3.3% | +/-2.7 |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 0 | +/-17 | 0.0% | +/-2.5 |
| \$200,000 or more | 0 | +/-17 | 0.0% | +/-2.5 |
| Median household income (dollars) | 33,854 | +/-4,177 | (X) | (X) |
| Mean household income (dollars) | 36,681 | +/-3,137 | (X) | (X) |
| With earnings | | | | |
| Mean earnings (dollars) | 39,119 | +/-3,628 | (X) | (X) |
| With Social Security | | | | |
| Mean Social Security income (dollars) | 12,847 | +/-2,330 | (X) | (X) |
| With retirement income | | | | |
| Mean retirement income (dollars) | 12,565 | +/-4,502 | (X) | (X) |
| With Supplemental Security Income | | | | |
| Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars) | 8,703 | +/-2,039 | (X) | (X) |
| With cash public assistance income | | | | |
| | 165 | +/-51 | 13.1% | +/-4.2 |

| Subject | Wapato city, Washington | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------|
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Percent | Percent Margin of Error |
| Mean cash public assistance income (dollars) | 3,216 | +/-1,212 | (X) | (X) |
| With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months | 569 | +/-103 | 45.2% | +/-8.1 |
| Families | 1,016 | +/-84 | 1,016 | (X) |
| Less than \$10,000 | 70 | +/-39 | 6.9% | +/-3.7 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 112 | +/-58 | 11.0% | +/-5.5 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 243 | +/-70 | 23.9% | +/-7.0 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 185 | +/-65 | 18.2% | +/-5.8 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 148 | +/-55 | 14.6% | +/-5.5 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 162 | +/-55 | 15.9% | +/-5.3 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 54 | +/-30 | 5.3% | +/-2.9 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 42 | +/-33 | 4.1% | +/-3.3 |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 0 | +/-17 | 0.0% | +/-3.1 |
| \$200,000 or more | 0 | +/-17 | 0.0% | +/-3.1 |
| Median family income (dollars) | 29,185 | +/-5,442 | (X) | (X) |
| Mean family income (dollars) | 36,766 | +/-3,223 | (X) | (X) |
| Per capita income (dollars) | 9,944 | +/-819 | (X) | (X) |
| Nonfamily households | 243 | +/-64 | 243 | (X) |
| Median nonfamily income (dollars) | 15,486 | +/-4,363 | (X) | (X) |
| Mean nonfamily income (dollars) | 19,544 | +/-4,497 | (X) | (X) |
| Median earnings for workers (dollars) | 16,761 | +/-1,573 | (X) | (X) |
| Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars) | 25,739 | +/-3,666 | (X) | (X) |
| Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars) | 20,366 | +/-2,910 | (X) | (X) |
| HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE | | | | |
| Civilian noninstitutionalized population | 4,886 | +/-84 | 4,886 | (X) |
| With health insurance coverage | 2,864 | +/-301 | 58.8% | +/-6.1 |
| With private health insurance | 1,671 | +/-351 | 34.2% | +/-7.2 |
| With public coverage | 1,456 | +/-221 | 29.8% | +/-4.5 |
| No health insurance coverage | 2,022 | +/-298 | 41.4% | +/-6.1 |
| Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 18 years | 1,882 | +/-164 | 1,882 | (X) |
| No health insurance coverage | 445 | +/-192 | 23.6% | +/-9.9 |
| Civilian noninstitutionalized population 18 to 64 years | 2,702 | +/-157 | 2,702 | (X) |
| In labor force: | 2,014 | +/-171 | 2,014 | (X) |
| Employed: | 1,678 | +/-157 | 1,678 | (X) |
| With health insurance coverage | 714 | +/-148 | 42.6% | +/-7.5 |
| With private health insurance | 616 | +/-143 | 36.7% | +/-7.5 |
| With public coverage | 125 | +/-57 | 7.4% | +/-3.3 |
| No health insurance coverage | 964 | +/-147 | 57.4% | +/-7.5 |
| Unemployed: | 336 | +/-110 | 336 | (X) |
| With health insurance coverage | 110 | +/-43 | 32.7% | +/-14.1 |
| With private health insurance | 65 | +/-38 | 19.3% | +/-11.4 |
| With public coverage | 45 | +/-28 | 13.4% | +/-9.3 |
| No health insurance coverage | 226 | +/-104 | 67.3% | +/-14.1 |
| Not in labor force: | 688 | +/-145 | 688 | (X) |
| With health insurance coverage | 307 | +/-104 | 44.6% | +/-10.3 |
| With private health insurance | 98 | +/-53 | 14.2% | +/-7.2 |
| With public coverage | 232 | +/-96 | 33.7% | +/-10.6 |
| No health insurance coverage | 381 | +/-98 | 55.4% | +/-10.3 |

| Subject | Wapato city, Washington | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------|
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Percent | Percent Margin of Error |
| PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL | | | | |
| All families | (X) | (X) | 34.4% | +/-7.6 |
| With related children under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 37.1% | +/-9.3 |
| With related children under 5 years only | (X) | (X) | 35.8% | +/-18.8 |
| Married couple families | (X) | (X) | 27.7% | +/-8.2 |
| With related children under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 29.5% | +/-12.2 |
| With related children under 5 years only | (X) | (X) | 23.1% | +/-44.1 |
| Families with female householder, no husband present | (X) | (X) | 52.7% | +/-18.0 |
| With related children under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 54.3% | +/-18.7 |
| With related children under 5 years only | (X) | (X) | 42.8% | +/-25.9 |
| All people | (X) | (X) | 37.9% | +/-7.6 |
| Under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 49.7% | +/-10.8 |
| Related children under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 49.7% | +/-10.8 |
| Related children under 5 years | (X) | (X) | 49.9% | +/-13.5 |
| Related children 5 to 17 years | (X) | (X) | 49.6% | +/-12.2 |
| 18 years and over | (X) | (X) | 30.5% | +/-6.5 |
| 18 to 64 years | (X) | (X) | 31.2% | +/-7.0 |
| 65 years and over | (X) | (X) | 24.2% | +/-16.7 |
| People in families | (X) | (X) | 38.7% | +/-8.6 |
| Unrelated individuals 15 years and over | (X) | (X) | 31.1% | +/-9.2 |

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see Accuracy of the Data). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

There were changes in the edit between 2009 and 2010 regarding Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and Social Security. The changes in the edit loosened restrictions on disability requirements for receipt of SSI resulting in an increase in the total number of SSI recipients in the American Community Survey. The changes also loosened restrictions on possible reported monthly amounts in Social Security income resulting in higher Social Security aggregate amounts. These results more closely match administrative counts compiled by the Social Security Administration.

Workers include members of the Armed Forces and civilians who were at work last week.

Census occupation codes are 4-digit codes and are based on the Standard Occupational Classification (SOC). The Census occupation codes for 2010 and later years are based on the 2010 revision of the SOC. To allow for the creation of 2009-2013 tables, occupation data in the multiyear files (2009-2013) were recoded to 2013 Census occupation codes. We recommend using caution when comparing data coded using 2013 Census occupation codes with data coded using Census occupation codes prior to 2010. For more information on the Census occupation code changes, please visit our website at <http://www.census.gov/people/fo/methodology/>.

Industry codes are 4-digit codes and are based on the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). The Census industry codes for 2013 and later years are based on the 2012 revision of the NAICS. To allow for the creation of 2009-2013 and 2011-2013 tables, industry data in the multiyear files (2009-2013 and 2011-2013) were recoded to 2013 Census industry codes. We recommend using caution when comparing data coded using 2013 Census industry codes with data coded using Census industry codes prior to 2013. For more information on the Census industry code changes, please visit our website at <http://www.census.gov/people/fo/methodology/>.

While the 2009-2013 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the February 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas; in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural population, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 5-Year American Community Survey

| Subject | Yakima County, Washington | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total | | Below poverty level | | Percent below poverty level |
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Estimate | Margin of Error | Estimate |
| Female | 45,239 | +/-1,016 | 5,173 | +/-550 | 11.4% |
| Unemployed | 12,214 | +/-972 | 4,650 | +/-661 | 38.1% |
| Male | 6,754 | +/-679 | 2,538 | +/-491 | 37.6% |
| Female | 5,460 | +/-596 | 2,112 | +/-375 | 38.7% |
| WORK EXPERIENCE | | | | | |
| Population 16 years and over | 175,864 | +/-496 | 33,014 | +/-1,877 | 18.8% |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | 64,887 | +/-1,498 | 2,958 | +/-421 | 4.6% |
| Worked part-time or part-year in the past 12 months | 52,454 | +/-1,308 | 12,891 | +/-1,143 | 24.2% |
| Did not work | 58,523 | +/-1,237 | 17,367 | +/-1,112 | 29.7% |
| All individuals below: | | | | | |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 20,727 | +/-2,068 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 72,045 | +/-3,180 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 91,088 | +/-2,912 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 112,795 | +/-2,780 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 120,903 | +/-2,890 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| Unrelated individuals for whom poverty status is determined | | | | | |
| Male | 17,535 | +/-968 | 4,897 | +/-713 | 28.5% |
| Female | 17,208 | +/-918 | 5,579 | +/-804 | 32.4% |
| Mean income deficit for unrelated individuals (dollars) | 5,926 | +/-317 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | | | | | |
| Worked less than full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | 11,987 | +/-835 | 358 | +/-137 | 3.0% |
| Did not work | 8,674 | +/-943 | 3,995 | +/-716 | 46.1% |
| Did not work | 14,082 | +/-769 | 6,225 | +/-659 | 44.2% |
| PERCENT IMPUTED | | | | | |
| Poverty status for individuals | 23.8% | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |

| Subject | Yakima County, Washington Percent below poverty level Margin of Error |
|---|---|
| Population for whom poverty status is determined | +/-1.3 |
| AGE | |
| Under 18 years | +/-2.3 |
| Related children under 18 years | +/-2.3 |
| 18 to 64 years | +/-1.2 |
| 65 years and over | +/-1.4 |
| SEX | |
| Male | +/-1.4 |
| Female | +/-1.5 |
| RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN | |
| One race | +/-1.3 |
| White | +/-1.2 |
| Black or African American | +/-12.3 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | +/-6.4 |
| Asian | +/-9.2 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | +/-17.9 |
| Some other race | +/-4.7 |
| Two or more races | +/-5.3 |
| Hispanic or Latino origin (of any race) | +/-2.6 |
| White alone, not Hispanic or Latino | +/-1.0 |
| EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT | |
| Population 25 years and over | +/-0.9 |
| Less than high school graduate | +/-2.5 |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | +/-1.4 |
| Some college, associate's degree | +/-1.3 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | +/-0.8 |
| EMPLOYMENT STATUS | |
| Civilian labor force 16 years and over | +/-1.0 |
| Employed | +/-0.9 |
| Male | +/-1.1 |
| Female | +/-1.2 |
| Unemployed | +/-4.0 |
| Male | +/-5.7 |
| Female | +/-5.3 |
| WORK EXPERIENCE | |
| Population 16 years and over | +/-1.1 |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | +/-0.6 |
| Worked part-time or part-year in the past 12 months | +/-1.9 |
| Did not work | +/-1.7 |
| All individuals below: | |
| 50 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 125 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 150 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 185 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 200 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| Unrelated individuals for whom poverty status is determined | +/-2.2 |
| Male | +/-3.4 |
| Female | +/-2.7 |

| Subject | Yakima County, Washington Percent below poverty level Margin of Error |
|--|---|
| Mean income deficit for unrelated individuals (dollars) | (X) |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | +/-1.1 |
| Worked less than full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | +/-5.0 |
| Did not work | +/-3.2 |
| PERCENT IMPUTED | |
| Poverty status for individuals | (X) |

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see Accuracy of the Data). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

While the 2009-2013 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the February 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas; in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural population, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 5-Year American Community Survey

Explanation of Symbols:

1. An *** entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.
2. An ' ' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
3. An 'l' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.
4. An 'u' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
5. An ***** entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.
6. An ***** entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.
7. An 'N' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.
8. An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

Explanation of Symbols:

1. An "" entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.
2. An 'J' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
3. An 'L' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.
4. An 'U' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
5. An "" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.
6. An "" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.
7. An 'N' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.
8. An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.



DP03 | SELECTED ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

2009-2013 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Data and Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

| Subject | Yakima County, Washington | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------|
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Percent | Percent Margin of Error |
| EMPLOYMENT STATUS | | | | |
| Population 16 years and over | 178,534 | +/-384 | 178,534 | (X) |
| In labor force | 111,234 | +/-1,521 | 62.3% | +/-0.8 |
| Civilian labor force | 111,098 | +/-1,526 | 62.2% | +/-0.9 |
| Employed | 98,864 | +/-1,503 | 55.4% | +/-0.8 |
| Unemployed | 12,234 | +/-974 | 6.9% | +/-0.5 |
| Armed Forces | 136 | +/-67 | 0.1% | +/-0.1 |
| Not in labor force | 67,300 | +/-1,526 | 37.7% | +/-0.8 |
| Civilian labor force | 111,098 | +/-1,526 | 111,098 | (X) |
| Percent Unemployed | (X) | (X) | 11.0% | +/-0.8 |
| Females 16 years and over | | | | |
| Population 16 years and over | 89,587 | +/-265 | 89,587 | (X) |
| In labor force | 50,722 | +/-1,002 | 56.6% | +/-1.1 |
| Civilian labor force | 50,711 | +/-1,002 | 56.6% | +/-1.1 |
| Employed | 45,251 | +/-1,016 | 50.5% | +/-1.1 |
| Own children under 6 years | | | | |
| Population 16 years and over | 24,583 | +/-532 | 24,583 | (X) |
| All parents in family in labor force | 15,639 | +/-816 | 63.6% | +/-2.9 |
| Own children 6 to 17 years | | | | |
| Population 16 years and over | 45,304 | +/-751 | 45,304 | (X) |
| All parents in family in labor force | 32,012 | +/-1,150 | 70.7% | +/-2.6 |
| COMMUTING TO WORK | | | | |
| Workers 16 years and over | 96,410 | +/-1,519 | 96,410 | (X) |
| Car, truck, or van -- drove alone | 76,163 | +/-1,511 | 79.0% | +/-1.0 |
| Car, truck, or van -- carpooled | 12,268 | +/-896 | 12.7% | +/-0.9 |
| Public transportation (excluding taxicab) | 975 | +/-267 | 1.0% | +/-0.3 |
| Walked | 1,845 | +/-353 | 1.9% | +/-0.4 |
| Other means | 1,421 | +/-288 | 1.5% | +/-0.3 |
| Worked at home | 3,738 | +/-432 | 3.9% | +/-0.5 |
| Mean travel time to work (minutes) | 19.8 | +/-0.5 | (X) | (X) |
| OCCUPATION | | | | |
| Civilian employed population 16 years and over | 98,864 | +/-1,503 | 98,864 | (X) |

| Subject | Yakima County, Washington | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------|
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Percent | Percent Margin of Error |
| Management, business, science, and arts occupations | 25,046 | +/-928 | 25.3% | +/-0.9 |
| Service occupations | 17,619 | +/-938 | 17.8% | +/-0.9 |
| Sales and office occupations | 21,346 | +/-1,019 | 21.6% | +/-1.0 |
| Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations | 18,850 | +/-953 | 19.1% | +/-0.9 |
| Production, transportation, and material moving occupations | 16,003 | +/-1,007 | 16.2% | +/-0.9 |
| INDUSTRY | | | | |
| Civilian employed population 16 years and over | 98,864 | +/-1,503 | 98,864 | (X) |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining | 14,419 | +/-958 | 14.6% | +/-1.0 |
| Construction | 5,323 | +/-658 | 5.4% | +/-0.7 |
| Manufacturing | 7,896 | +/-762 | 8.0% | +/-0.8 |
| Wholesale trade | 6,228 | +/-726 | 6.3% | +/-0.7 |
| Retail trade | 10,768 | +/-760 | 10.9% | +/-0.8 |
| Transportation and warehousing, and utilities | 5,619 | +/-658 | 5.7% | +/-0.7 |
| Information | 951 | +/-203 | 1.0% | +/-0.2 |
| Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing | 3,405 | +/-405 | 3.4% | +/-0.4 |
| Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services | 5,976 | +/-541 | 6.0% | +/-0.5 |
| Educational services, and health care and social assistance | 21,894 | +/-904 | 22.1% | +/-0.9 |
| Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services | 7,167 | +/-636 | 7.3% | +/-0.6 |
| Other services, except public administration | 4,386 | +/-531 | 4.4% | +/-0.5 |
| Public administration | 4,810 | +/-514 | 4.9% | +/-0.5 |
| CLASS OF WORKER | | | | |
| Civilian employed population 16 years and over | 98,864 | +/-1,503 | 98,864 | (X) |
| Private wage and salary workers | 78,248 | +/-1,547 | 79.1% | +/-0.8 |
| Government workers | 14,953 | +/-742 | 15.1% | +/-0.8 |
| Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers | 5,462 | +/-495 | 5.5% | +/-0.5 |
| Unpaid family workers | 181 | +/-104 | 0.2% | +/-0.1 |
| INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2013 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS) | | | | |
| Total households | 79,679 | +/-661 | 79,679 | (X) |
| Less than \$10,000 | 5,444 | +/-568 | 6.8% | +/-0.7 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 5,245 | +/-506 | 6.6% | +/-0.6 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 11,190 | +/-804 | 14.0% | +/-1.0 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 9,862 | +/-673 | 12.4% | +/-0.8 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 13,022 | +/-824 | 16.3% | +/-1.0 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 14,688 | +/-731 | 18.4% | +/-0.9 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 9,134 | +/-605 | 11.5% | +/-0.8 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 7,557 | +/-559 | 9.5% | +/-0.7 |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 2,162 | +/-288 | 2.7% | +/-0.4 |
| \$200,000 or more | 1,375 | +/-243 | 1.7% | +/-0.3 |
| Median household income (dollars) | 43,506 | +/-1,087 | (X) | (X) |
| Mean household income (dollars) | 57,404 | +/-1,215 | (X) | (X) |
| With earnings | 62,861 | +/-820 | 78.9% | +/-0.8 |
| Mean earnings (dollars) | 56,854 | +/-1,334 | (X) | (X) |
| With Social Security | 22,907 | +/-695 | 28.7% | +/-0.8 |
| Mean Social Security income (dollars) | 16,592 | +/-386 | (X) | (X) |
| With retirement income | 12,833 | +/-688 | 16.1% | +/-0.9 |
| Mean retirement income (dollars) | 18,856 | +/-1,135 | (X) | (X) |
| With Supplemental Security Income | 4,829 | +/-391 | 6.1% | +/-0.5 |
| Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars) | 8,861 | +/-487 | (X) | (X) |
| With cash public assistance income | 4,939 | +/-523 | 6.2% | +/-0.6 |

| Subject | Yakima County, Washington | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------|
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Percent | Percent Margin of Error |
| Mean cash public assistance income (dollars) | 3,823 | +/-508 | (X) | (X) |
| With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months | 19,597 | +/-905 | 24.8% | +/-1.1 |
| Families | 58,232 | +/-883 | 58,232 | (X) |
| Less than \$10,000 | 3,413 | +/-460 | 5.9% | +/-0.8 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 2,801 | +/-356 | 4.8% | +/-0.6 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 7,224 | +/-700 | 12.4% | +/-1.2 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 6,725 | +/-546 | 11.5% | +/-0.8 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 9,551 | +/-649 | 16.4% | +/-1.1 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 11,472 | +/-604 | 19.7% | +/-1.0 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 7,367 | +/-530 | 12.7% | +/-0.9 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 6,621 | +/-449 | 11.4% | +/-0.8 |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 1,917 | +/-289 | 3.3% | +/-0.5 |
| \$200,000 or more | 1,141 | +/-219 | 2.0% | +/-0.4 |
| Median family income (dollars) | 48,946 | +/-1,209 | (X) | (X) |
| Mean family income (dollars) | 62,750 | +/-1,476 | (X) | (X) |
| Per capita income (dollars) | 19,433 | +/-393 | (X) | (X) |
| Nonfamily households | 21,447 | +/-652 | 21,447 | (X) |
| Median nonfamily income (dollars) | 27,453 | +/-1,747 | (X) | (X) |
| Mean nonfamily income (dollars) | 37,679 | +/-2,049 | (X) | (X) |
| Median earnings for workers (dollars) | 22,256 | +/-392 | (X) | (X) |
| Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars) | 37,702 | +/-1,245 | (X) | (X) |
| Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars) | 31,371 | +/-634 | (X) | (X) |
| HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE | | | | |
| Civilian noninstitutionalized population | 241,921 | +/-366 | 241,921 | (X) |
| With health insurance coverage | 180,457 | +/-2,253 | 74.6% | +/-0.9 |
| With private health insurance | 121,142 | +/-2,716 | 50.1% | +/-1.1 |
| With public coverage | 84,145 | +/-2,041 | 34.8% | +/-0.8 |
| No health insurance coverage | 61,464 | +/-2,263 | 25.4% | +/-0.9 |
| Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 18 years | 73,891 | +/-19 | 73,891 | (X) |
| No health insurance coverage | 9,242 | +/-1,170 | 12.5% | +/-1.6 |
| Civilian noninstitutionalized population 18 to 64 years | 140,061 | +/-319 | 140,061 | (X) |
| In labor force: | 104,749 | +/-1,394 | 104,749 | (X) |
| Employed: | 93,326 | +/-1,397 | 93,326 | (X) |
| With health insurance coverage | 63,128 | +/-1,434 | 67.6% | +/-1.3 |
| With private health insurance | 58,406 | +/-1,481 | 62.6% | +/-1.4 |
| With public coverage | 6,500 | +/-539 | 7.0% | +/-0.6 |
| No health insurance coverage | 30,196 | +/-1,331 | 32.4% | +/-1.3 |
| Unemployed: | 11,423 | +/-949 | 11,423 | (X) |
| With health insurance coverage | 3,949 | +/-535 | 34.6% | +/-4.3 |
| With private health insurance | 2,362 | +/-386 | 20.7% | +/-3.0 |
| With public coverage | 1,757 | +/-358 | 15.4% | +/-3.1 |
| No health insurance coverage | 7,474 | +/-860 | 65.4% | +/-4.3 |
| Not in labor force: | 35,312 | +/-1,364 | 35,312 | (X) |
| With health insurance coverage | 21,231 | +/-1,043 | 60.1% | +/-2.3 |
| With private health insurance | 11,097 | +/-677 | 31.4% | +/-1.9 |
| With public coverage | 12,139 | +/-792 | 34.4% | +/-1.6 |
| No health insurance coverage | 14,081 | +/-1,050 | 39.9% | +/-2.3 |

| Subject | Yakima County, Washington | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------|
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Percent | Percent Margin of Error |
| PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL | | | | |
| All families | (X) | (X) | 17.6% | +/-1.2 |
| With related children under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 26.2% | +/-1.9 |
| With related children under 5 years only | (X) | (X) | 25.7% | +/-4.5 |
| Married couple families | (X) | (X) | 10.0% | +/-1.0 |
| With related children under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 16.1% | +/-1.8 |
| With related children under 5 years only | (X) | (X) | 11.4% | +/-4.6 |
| Families with female householder, no husband present | (X) | (X) | 41.0% | +/-3.6 |
| With related children under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 47.7% | +/-4.4 |
| With related children under 5 years only | (X) | (X) | 54.8% | +/-8.6 |
| All people | (X) | (X) | 22.6% | +/-1.3 |
| Under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 32.4% | +/-2.3 |
| Related children under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 32.1% | +/-2.3 |
| Related children under 5 years | (X) | (X) | 36.3% | +/-3.2 |
| Related children 5 to 17 years | (X) | (X) | 30.4% | +/-2.5 |
| 18 years and over | (X) | (X) | 18.3% | +/-1.0 |
| 18 to 64 years | (X) | (X) | 19.9% | +/-1.2 |
| 65 years and over | (X) | (X) | 10.7% | +/-1.4 |
| People in families | (X) | (X) | 21.2% | +/-1.4 |
| Unrelated individuals 15 years and over | (X) | (X) | 30.4% | +/-2.2 |

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see *Accuracy of the Data*). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

There were changes in the edit between 2009 and 2010 regarding Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and Social Security. The changes in the edit loosened restrictions on disability requirements for receipt of SSI resulting in an increase in the total number of SSI recipients in the American Community Survey. The changes also loosened restrictions on possible reported monthly amounts in Social Security income resulting in higher Social Security aggregate amounts. These results more closely match administrative counts compiled by the Social Security Administration.

Workers include members of the Armed Forces and civilians who were at work last week.

Census occupation codes are 4-digit codes and are based on the Standard Occupational Classification (SOC). The Census occupation codes for 2010 and later years are based on the 2010 revision of the SOC. To allow for the creation of 2009-2013 tables, occupation data in the multiyear files (2009-2013) were recoded to 2013 Census occupation codes. We recommend using caution when comparing data coded using 2013 Census occupation codes with data coded using Census occupation codes prior to 2010. For more information on the Census occupation code changes, please visit our website at <http://www.census.gov/people/fo/methodology/>.

Industry codes are 4-digit codes and are based on the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). The Census industry codes for 2013 and later years are based on the 2012 revision of the NAICS. To allow for the creation of 2009-2013 and 2011-2013 tables, industry data in the multiyear files (2009-2013 and 2011-2013) were recoded to 2013 Census industry codes. We recommend using caution when comparing data coded using 2013 Census industry codes with data coded using Census industry codes prior to 2013. For more information on the Census industry code changes, please visit our website at <http://www.census.gov/people/fo/methodology/>.

While the 2009-2013 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the February 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas; in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural population, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 5-Year American Community Survey

Explanation of Symbols:

1. An "" entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.
2. An 'J' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
3. An 'L' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.
4. An 'U' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
5. An "" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.
6. An "" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.
7. An 'N' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.
8. An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

| Subject | United States | | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total | | Below poverty level | | Percent below poverty level |
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Estimate | Margin of Error | Estimate |
| Female | 87,200,725 | +/-68,231 | 5,487,331 | +/-25,155 | 8.2% |
| Unemployed | 15,087,913 | +/-33,098 | 4,894,092 | +/-25,141 | 31.1% |
| Male | 8,315,572 | +/-24,345 | 2,356,379 | +/-13,992 | 28.3% |
| Female | 6,772,341 | +/-19,427 | 2,337,713 | +/-14,940 | 34.5% |
| WORK EXPERIENCE | | | | | |
| Population 16 years and over | 239,364,943 | +/-14,938 | 32,512,873 | +/-154,156 | 13.6% |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | 98,581,833 | +/-76,280 | 2,853,267 | +/-16,709 | 2.9% |
| Worked part-time or part-year in the past 12 months | 59,757,413 | +/-111,678 | 10,817,858 | +/-41,674 | 18.1% |
| Did not work | 81,025,697 | +/-154,769 | 18,841,960 | +/-107,572 | 23.3% |
| All individuals below: | | | | | |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 20,809,862 | +/-145,004 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 61,051,086 | +/-333,640 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 75,713,774 | +/-380,397 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 95,898,451 | +/-439,012 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 103,964,437 | +/-459,088 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| Unrelated individuals for whom poverty status is determined | | | | | |
| Male | 27,696,374 | +/-137,570 | 6,806,752 | +/-41,183 | 24.6% |
| Female | 28,787,435 | +/-46,838 | 8,170,310 | +/-37,825 | 28.4% |
| Mean income deficit for unrelated individuals (dollars) | | | | | |
| | 6,495 | +/-11 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | | | | | |
| | 23,055,863 | +/-140,365 | 743,270 | +/-7,558 | 3.2% |
| Worked less than full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | | | | | |
| | 13,677,392 | +/-57,272 | 5,434,069 | +/-30,859 | 39.7% |
| Did not work | | | | | |
| | 19,750,554 | +/-33,970 | 8,799,733 | +/-45,078 | 44.6% |
| PERCENT IMPUTED | | | | | |
| Poverty status for individuals | 25.9% | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |

| Subject | United States Percent below poverty level Margin of Error |
|--|--|
| Population for whom poverty status is determined | +/-0.1 |
| AGE | |
| Under 18 years | +/-0.2 |
| Related children under 18 years | +/-0.2 |
| 18 to 64 years | +/-0.1 |
| 65 years and over | +/-0.1 |
| SEX | |
| Male | +/-0.1 |
| Female | +/-0.1 |
| RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN | |
| One race | +/-0.1 |
| White | +/-0.1 |
| Black or African American | +/-0.1 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | +/-0.3 |
| Asian | +/-0.1 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | +/-0.7 |
| Some other race | +/-0.2 |
| Two or more races | +/-0.2 |
| Hispanic or Latino origin (of any race) | +/-0.2 |
| White alone, not Hispanic or Latino | +/-0.1 |
| EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT | |
| Population 25 years and over | +/-0.1 |
| Less than high school graduate | +/-0.1 |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | +/-0.1 |
| Some college, associate's degree | +/-0.1 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | +/-0.1 |
| EMPLOYMENT STATUS | |
| Civilian labor force 16 years and over | +/-0.1 |
| Employed | +/-0.1 |
| Male | +/-0.1 |
| Female | +/-0.1 |
| Unemployed | +/-0.1 |
| Male | +/-0.1 |
| Female | +/-0.2 |
| WORK EXPERIENCE | |
| Population 16 years and over | +/-0.1 |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | +/-0.1 |
| Worked part-time or part-year in the past 12 months | +/-0.1 |
| Did not work | +/-0.1 |
| All individuals below: | |
| 50 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 125 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 150 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 185 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 200 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| Unrelated individuals for whom poverty status is determined | |
| Male | +/-0.1 |
| Female | +/-0.1 |

| Subject | United States Percent below poverty level Margin of Error |
|--|--|
| Mean income deficit for unrelated individuals (dollars) | (X) |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | +/-0.1 |
| Worked less than full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | +/-0.1 |
| Did not work | +/-0.3 |
| PERCENT IMPUTED | |
| Poverty status for individuals | (X) |

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see Accuracy of the Data). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

While the 2009-2013 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the February 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas; in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural population, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 5-Year American Community Survey

Explanation of Symbols:

1. An '*' entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.
2. An 'v' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
3. An 'l' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.
4. An 'u' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
5. An '****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.
6. An '*****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.
7. An 'N' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.
8. An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.



DP03 | SELECTED ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

2009-2013 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Data and Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

| Subject | United States | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Percent | Percent Margin of Error |
| EMPLOYMENT STATUS | | | | |
| Population 16 years and over | 246,191,954 | +/-14,802 | 246,191,954 | (X) |
| In labor force | 158,197,577 | +/-119,056 | 64.3% | +/-0.1 |
| Civilian labor force | 157,113,886 | +/-112,155 | 63.8% | +/-0.1 |
| Employed | 141,864,697 | +/-128,820 | 57.6% | +/-0.1 |
| Unemployed | 15,249,189 | +/-33,317 | 9.2% | +/-0.1 |
| Armed Forces | 1,083,691 | +/-10,428 | 0.4% | +/-0.1 |
| Not in labor force | 87,994,377 | +/-110,299 | 35.7% | +/-0.1 |
| Civilian labor force | 157,113,886 | +/-112,155 | 157,113,886 | (X) |
| Percent Unemployed | (X) | (X) | 9.7% | +/-0.1 |
| Females 16 years and over | 126,347,728 | +/-9,814 | 126,347,728 | (X) |
| In labor force | 74,694,692 | +/-62,176 | 69.1% | +/-0.1 |
| Civilian labor force | 74,545,477 | +/-61,713 | 69.0% | +/-0.1 |
| Employed | 67,687,919 | +/-67,681 | 63.6% | +/-0.1 |
| Own children under 6 years | 23,284,619 | +/-14,704 | 23,284,619 | (X) |
| All parents in family in labor force | 15,076,973 | +/-31,709 | 64.8% | +/-0.1 |
| Own children 6 to 17 years | 47,064,363 | +/-20,702 | 47,064,363 | (X) |
| All parents in family in labor force | 33,417,661 | +/-44,398 | 71.0% | +/-0.1 |
| COMMUTING TO WORK | | | | |
| Workers 16 years and over | 139,786,639 | +/-142,773 | 139,786,639 | (X) |
| Car, truck, or van -- drove alone | 106,725,474 | +/-111,405 | 76.3% | +/-0.1 |
| Car, truck, or van -- carpooled | 13,631,263 | +/-60,703 | 9.8% | +/-0.1 |
| Public transportation (excluding taxicab) | 7,000,722 | +/-21,139 | 5.0% | +/-0.1 |
| Walked | 3,922,801 | +/-16,357 | 2.8% | +/-0.1 |
| Other means | 2,459,994 | +/-13,973 | 1.8% | +/-0.1 |
| Worked at home | 6,046,385 | +/-21,247 | 4.3% | +/-0.1 |
| Mean travel time to work (minutes) | 25.5 | +/-0.1 | (X) | (X) |
| OCCUPATION | | | | |
| Civilian employed population 16 years and over | 141,864,697 | +/-128,820 | 141,864,697 | (X) |

| Subject | United States | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Percent | Percent Margin of Error |
| Management, business, science, and arts occupations | 51,341,226 | +/-218,812 | 36.2% | +/-0.1 |
| Service occupations | 25,845,065 | +/-72,454 | 18.1% | +/-0.1 |
| Sales and office occupations | 34,957,520 | +/-47,346 | 24.6% | +/-0.1 |
| Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations | 12,863,316 | +/-39,646 | 9.1% | +/-0.1 |
| Production, transportation, and material moving occupations | 17,057,570 | +/-39,646 | 12.0% | +/-0.1 |
| INDUSTRY | | | | |
| Civilian employed population 16 years and over | 141,864,697 | +/-128,820 | 141,864,697 | (X) |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining | 2,731,302 | +/-14,105 | 1.9% | +/-0.1 |
| Construction | 8,864,481 | +/-33,062 | 6.2% | +/-0.1 |
| Manufacturing | 14,867,423 | +/-38,817 | 10.5% | +/-0.1 |
| Wholesale trade | 3,937,676 | +/-15,598 | 2.8% | +/-0.1 |
| Retail trade | 16,415,217 | +/-33,381 | 11.6% | +/-0.1 |
| Transportation and warehousing, and utilities | 7,010,637 | +/-19,380 | 4.9% | +/-0.1 |
| Information | 3,056,318 | +/-15,402 | 2.2% | +/-0.1 |
| Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing | 9,469,756 | +/-28,731 | 6.7% | +/-0.1 |
| Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services | 15,300,528 | +/-38,487 | 10.8% | +/-0.1 |
| Educational services, and health care and social assistance | 32,871,216 | +/-116,253 | 23.2% | +/-0.1 |
| Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services | 13,262,892 | +/-53,310 | 9.3% | +/-0.1 |
| Other services, except public administration | 7,043,003 | +/-19,023 | 5.0% | +/-0.1 |
| Public administration | 7,034,048 | +/-34,747 | 5.0% | +/-0.1 |
| CLASS OF WORKER | | | | |
| Civilian employed population 16 years and over | 141,864,697 | +/-128,820 | 141,864,697 | (X) |
| Private wage and salary workers | 111,790,351 | +/-57,722 | 78.8% | +/-0.1 |
| Government workers | 21,087,459 | +/-109,647 | 14.9% | +/-0.1 |
| Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers | 8,775,721 | +/-23,993 | 6.2% | +/-0.1 |
| Unpaid family workers | 211,166 | +/-3,061 | 0.1% | +/-0.1 |
| INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2013 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS) | | | | |
| Total households | 115,610,216 | +/-238,223 | 115,610,216 | (X) |
| Less than \$10,000 | 8,380,364 | +/-19,177 | 7.2% | +/-0.1 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 6,214,548 | +/-16,925 | 5.4% | +/-0.1 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 12,468,604 | +/-25,764 | 10.9% | +/-0.1 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 11,929,761 | +/-18,742 | 10.3% | +/-0.1 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 15,723,146 | +/-25,273 | 13.6% | +/-0.1 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 20,744,045 | +/-40,738 | 17.9% | +/-0.1 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 14,107,031 | +/-52,951 | 12.2% | +/-0.1 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 14,858,239 | +/-72,198 | 12.9% | +/-0.1 |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 5,851,848 | +/-31,873 | 4.9% | +/-0.1 |
| \$200,000 or more | 5,532,628 | +/-32,847 | 4.8% | +/-0.1 |
| Median household income (dollars) | 53,046 | +/-89 | (X) | (X) |
| Mean household income (dollars) | 73,487 | +/-125 | (X) | (X) |
| With earnings | | | | |
| Mean earnings (dollars) | 75,017 | +/-141 | (X) | (X) |
| With Social Security | | | | |
| Mean Social Security income (dollars) | 17,189 | +/-15 | (X) | (X) |
| With retirement income | | | | |
| Mean retirement income (dollars) | 23,589 | +/-45 | (X) | (X) |
| With Supplemental Security Income | | | | |
| Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars) | 9,152 | +/-17 | (X) | (X) |
| With cash public assistance income | 3,255,213 | +/-17,645 | 2.8% | +/-0.1 |

| Subject | United States | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Percent | Percent Margin of Error |
| Mean cash public assistance income (dollars) | 3,808 | +/-15 | (X) | (X) |
| With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months | 14,339,330 | +/-24,224 | 12.4% | +/-0.1 |
| Families | 76,744,358 | +/-214,842 | 76,744,358 | (X) |
| Less than \$10,000 | 3,626,303 | +/-12,422 | 4.7% | +/-0.1 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 2,446,045 | +/-11,075 | 3.2% | +/-0.1 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 6,233,829 | +/-21,418 | 8.1% | +/-0.1 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 6,944,923 | +/-16,656 | 9.0% | +/-0.1 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 10,023,111 | +/-18,802 | 13.1% | +/-0.1 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 14,611,574 | +/-44,002 | 19.0% | +/-0.1 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 10,949,862 | +/-57,623 | 14.3% | +/-0.1 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 12,294,074 | +/-79,758 | 16.0% | +/-0.1 |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 4,836,963 | +/-33,926 | 8.3% | +/-0.1 |
| \$200,000 or more | 4,777,674 | +/-34,759 | 8.2% | +/-0.1 |
| Median family income (dollars) | 64,719 | +/-182 | (X) | (X) |
| Mean family income (dollars) | 85,586 | +/-201 | (X) | (X) |
| Per capita income (dollars) | 28,155 | +/-76 | (X) | (X) |
| Nonfamily households | 38,865,858 | +/-40,279 | 38,865,858 | (X) |
| Median nonfamily income (dollars) | 31,864 | +/-59 | (X) | (X) |
| Mean nonfamily income (dollars) | 46,565 | +/-92 | (X) | (X) |
| Median earnings for workers (dollars) | 30,538 | +/-42 | (X) | (X) |
| Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars) | 49,087 | +/-143 | (X) | (X) |
| Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars) | 38,635 | +/-81 | (X) | (X) |
| HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE | | | | |
| Civilian noninstitutionalized population | 306,448,495 | +/-10,032 | 306,448,495 | (X) |
| With health insurance coverage | 260,878,830 | +/-339,551 | 85.1% | +/-0.1 |
| With private health insurance | 202,280,121 | +/-598,919 | 66.0% | +/-0.2 |
| With public coverage | 92,504,272 | +/-186,292 | 30.2% | +/-0.1 |
| No health insurance coverage | 45,569,665 | +/-346,367 | 14.9% | +/-0.1 |
| Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 18 years | 73,735,147 | +/-6,439 | 73,735,147 | (X) |
| No health insurance coverage | 5,614,174 | +/-50,489 | 7.6% | +/-0.1 |
| Civilian noninstitutionalized population 18 to 64 years | 192,168,613 | +/-9,565 | 192,168,613 | (X) |
| In labor force: | 148,155,268 | +/-103,638 | 148,155,268 | (X) |
| Employed: | 134,019,936 | +/-122,173 | 134,019,936 | (X) |
| With health insurance coverage | 110,525,748 | +/-295,001 | 82.5% | +/-0.1 |
| With private health insurance | 104,439,661 | +/-306,803 | 77.9% | +/-0.2 |
| With public coverage | 8,811,097 | +/-21,524 | 6.6% | +/-0.1 |
| No health insurance coverage | 23,494,188 | +/-180,548 | 17.5% | +/-0.1 |
| Unemployed: | 14,135,332 | +/-32,825 | 14,135,332 | (X) |
| With health insurance coverage | 7,642,215 | +/-27,063 | 54.1% | +/-0.2 |
| With private health insurance | 4,980,341 | +/-26,521 | 35.1% | +/-0.2 |
| With public coverage | 3,001,350 | +/-12,108 | 21.2% | +/-0.1 |
| No health insurance coverage | 6,493,117 | +/-41,832 | 45.9% | +/-0.2 |
| Not in labor force: | 44,013,345 | +/-106,836 | 44,013,345 | (X) |
| With health insurance coverage | 34,437,787 | +/-40,766 | 78.2% | +/-0.1 |
| With private health insurance | 22,610,767 | +/-59,833 | 51.4% | +/-0.2 |
| With public coverage | 14,714,050 | +/-65,947 | 33.4% | +/-0.1 |
| No health insurance coverage | 9,575,558 | +/-82,341 | 21.8% | +/-0.1 |

| Subject | United States | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------|
| | Estimate | Margin of Error | Percent | Percent Margin of Error |
| PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL | | | | |
| All families | (X) | (X) | 11.3% | +/-0.1 |
| With related children under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 17.8% | +/-0.1 |
| With related children under 5 years only | (X) | (X) | 18.8% | +/-0.1 |
| Married couple families | (X) | (X) | 5.6% | +/-0.1 |
| With related children under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 8.3% | +/-0.1 |
| With related children under 5 years only | (X) | (X) | 7.1% | +/-0.1 |
| Families with female householder, no husband present | (X) | (X) | 30.6% | +/-0.1 |
| With related children under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 40.0% | +/-0.1 |
| With related children under 5 years only | (X) | (X) | 46.9% | +/-0.2 |
| All people | (X) | (X) | 15.4% | +/-0.1 |
| Under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 21.6% | +/-0.2 |
| Related children under 18 years | (X) | (X) | 21.3% | +/-0.2 |
| Related children under 5 years | (X) | (X) | 24.7% | +/-0.2 |
| Related children 5 to 17 years | (X) | (X) | 20.0% | +/-0.2 |
| 18 years and over | (X) | (X) | 13.4% | +/-0.1 |
| 18 to 64 years | (X) | (X) | 14.3% | +/-0.1 |
| 65 years and over | (X) | (X) | 9.4% | +/-0.1 |
| People in families | (X) | (X) | 12.8% | +/-0.1 |
| Unrelated individuals 15 years and over | (X) | (X) | 26.5% | +/-0.1 |

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see *Accuracy of the Data*). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

There were changes in the edit between 2009 and 2010 regarding Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and Social Security. The changes in the edit loosened restrictions on disability requirements for receipt of SSI resulting in an increase in the total number of SSI recipients in the American Community Survey. The changes also loosened restrictions on possible reported monthly amounts in Social Security income resulting in higher Social Security aggregate amounts. These results more closely match administrative counts compiled by the Social Security Administration.

Workers include members of the Armed Forces and civilians who were at work last week.

Census occupation codes are 4-digit codes and are based on the Standard Occupational Classification (SOC). The Census occupation codes for 2010 and later years are based on the 2010 revision of the SOC. To allow for the creation of 2009-2013 tables, occupation data in the multiyear files (2009-2013) were recoded to 2013 Census occupation codes. We recommend using caution when comparing data coded using 2013 Census occupation codes with data coded using Census occupation codes prior to 2010. For more information on the Census occupation code changes, please visit our website at <http://www.census.gov/people/fo/methodology/>.

Industry codes are 4-digit codes and are based on the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). The Census industry codes for 2013 and later years are based on the 2012 revision of the NAICS. To allow for the creation of 2009-2013 and 2011-2013 tables, industry data in the multiyear files (2009-2013 and 2011-2013) were recoded to 2013 Census industry codes. We recommend using caution when comparing data coded using 2013 Census industry codes with data coded using Census industry codes prior to 2013. For more information on the Census industry code changes, please visit our website at <http://www.census.gov/people/fo/methodology/>.

While the 2009-2013 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the February 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas, in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural population, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 5-Year American Community Survey

Explanation of Symbols:

1. An "" entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.
2. An 'J' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
3. An 'L' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.
4. An 'U' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
5. An "" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.
6. An "" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.
7. An 'N' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.
8. An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

Appendix B – Parks and Recreation Survey

| Do you live in the Wapato city limits? | | |
|---|------------------|----------------|
| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
| Yes | 88.3% | 25 |
| No | 10.7% | 3 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | 28 |
| <i>skipped question</i> | | 1 |
| Which Wapato City Parks do you visit regularly? Please check all that apply. | | |
| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
| City Hall Park | 26.1% | 6 |
| Lions Community Park | 65.2% | 15 |
| McCready Park | 8.7% | 2 |
| Wapato Sports Park | 34.8% | 8 |
| None | 8.7% | 2 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | 23 |
| <i>skipped question</i> | | 6 |
| What park and recreation facilities do you and your family use the most? Please check all that apply. | | |
| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
| Baseball/Softball Fields | 30.0% | 6 |
| Basketball Courts | 20.0% | 4 |
| Community Center | 20.0% | 4 |
| Open Space | 35.0% | 7 |
| Pathways | 60.0% | 12 |
| Picnic Facilities | 45.0% | 9 |
| Playgrounds | 25.0% | 5 |
| Soccer Fields | 30.0% | 6 |
| Swimming Pool | 25.0% | 5 |
| Volleyball Courts | 15.0% | 3 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | 20 |
| <i>skipped question</i> | | 9 |
| On average, how often do you visit parks? | | |
| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
| 10+ times per month | 11.5% | 3 |
| 5-10 times per month | 7.7% | 2 |
| 2-5 times per month | 15.4% | 4 |
| Once per month | 15.4% | 4 |
| 5-10 times per year | 11.5% | 3 |
| Less than 5 times per year | 11.5% | 3 |
| Very rarely | 7.7% | 2 |
| Never | 23.1% | 6 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | 26 |

skipped question **3**

How important are City Parks and Recreation facilities to you and your family?

| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
|---------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Extremely Important | 29.6% | 8 |
| Very Important | 25.9% | 7 |
| Somewhat Important | 25.9% | 7 |
| Not Important | 7.4% | 2 |
| No Opinion | 11.1% | 3 |

answered question **295**

skipped question **8**

How do you rate park structures and features? (such as playgrounds, picnic tables, restrooms, etc.)

| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Excellent | 0.0% | 0 |
| Good | 14.8% | 4 |
| Average | 40.7% | 11 |
| Poor | 29.6% | 8 |
| No Opinion | 14.8% | 4 |

answered question **27**

skipped question **2**

When you visit City Parks, do you feel safe?

| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Yes | 38.5% | 10 |
| No | 34.6% | 9 |
| Don't Know | 11.5% | 3 |
| Undecided | 15.4% | 4 |

answered question **26**

skipped question **3**

What Parks and Recreation amenities would you like to see more of? Please check all that apply.

| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Baseball/Softball Fields | 7.7% | 2 |
| Basketball Courts | 11.5% | 3 |
| Benches | 30.8% | 8 |
| Disc Golf | 0.0% | 0 |
| Lighting | 42.3% | 11 |
| Open Space | 3.9% | 1 |
| Parking | 26.9% | 7 |
| Pathways | 38.5% | 10 |
| Picnic Facilities | 46.2% | 12 |
| Playgrounds | 23.1% | 6 |
| Restrooms | 57.7% | 15 |
| Skate Park | 7.7% | 2 |

| | | |
|--------------------------|-------|-----------|
| Soccer Fields | 11.5% | 3 |
| Spray Parks | 11.5% | 3 |
| Swimming Pools | 46.2% | 12 |
| Tennis Courts | 11.5% | 3 |
| Trees | 38.5% | 10 |
| Volleyball Courts | 30.8% | 8 |
| Walking/Nature Trails | 46.2% | 12 |
| Water Features | 23.1% | 6 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | 26 |
| <i>skipped question</i> | | 3 |

Would you be willing to pay a small tax increase that could only be used for Parks and Recreation?

| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Yes | 30.8% | 8 |
| No | 42.3% | 11 |
| Undecided | 26.9% | 7 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | 26 |
| <i>skipped question</i> | | 3 |

Do you think that land should be purchased for the future development of more parks?

| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Yes | 29.2% | 7 |
| No | 45.8% | 11 |
| Undecided | 25.0% | 6 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | 24 |
| <i>skipped question</i> | | 5 |

What kind of new parks should be developed?

| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Community Parks (20+ acres) | 20.8% | 5 |
| Neighborhood Parks (3+ acres) | 20.8% | 5 |
| Greenway/Pathway | 37.5% | 9 |
| No New Parks | 29.2% | 7 |
| Mini Parks (less than 3 acres) | 20.8% | 5 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | 24 |
| <i>skipped question</i> | | 5 |

If you identified the need for new parks, how should they be developed?

| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Open Space | 15.0% | 3 |
| Athletic Fields | 10.0% | 2 |
| Playground | 30.0% | 6 |
| Multi-use | 55.0% | 11 |
| Trails/Nature Areas | 30.0% | 6 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | 20 |

skipped question **9**

Would you like to see Wapato pursue the construction of a new swimming pool, or construction of a different recreation facility?

| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| High Priority | 18.5% | 5 |
| Low Priority | 51.9% | 14 |
| Not Interested | 3.7% | 1 |
| Undecided | 11.1% | 3 |
| Renovate existing pool facilities | 22.2% | 6 |

answered question **27**

skipped question **2**

What is your age?

| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| 14 or younger | 0.0% | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0% | 0 |
| 20-29 | 3.9% | 1 |
| 30-39 | 15.4% | 4 |
| 40-49 | 0.0% | 0 |
| 50-59 | 11.5% | 3 |
| 60-69 | 30.8% | 8 |
| 70-79 | 26.9% | 7 |
| 80+ | 11.5% | 3 |

answered question **26**

skipped question **3**

What is your gender?

| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Male | 60.0% | 15 |
| Female | 36.0% | 9 |
| I choose not to answer | 4.0% | 1 |

answered question **25**

skipped question **4**

How many people live in your house or apartment?

| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| 1 | 11.5% | 3 |
| 2 | 23.1% | 6 |
| 3 | 23.1% | 6 |
| 4 | 15.4% | 4 |
| 5 | 23.1% | 6 |
| 6 | 0.0% | 0 |
| 7 | 0.0% | 0 |
| 8 | 3.9% | 1 |
| 9 | 0.0% | 0 |

| 10 | 0.0% | 0 |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 11+ | 0.0% | 0 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | 26 |
| <i>skipped question</i> | | 3 |
| What is your ethnic origin? Please check all boxes that apply for those living in your home. | | |
| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count |
| African American | 0.0% | 0 |
| American Indian | 14.8% | 4 |
| Asian | 0.0% | 0 |
| Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 3.7% | 1 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 77.8% | 21 |
| White/Caucasian | 11.1% | 3 |
| I choose not to answer | 7.4% | 2 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | 27 |
| <i>skipped question</i> | | 2 |

DRAFT

Appendix C – Survey Results: Additional responses

It wouldn't be safe to go to anything in this town. You would come home to find your house had been burglarized. You really need to clean up the drunks, gang bangers, shootings and stop the killings. This town needs more police so the working man doesn't have to retire to keep the house safe. We sure as hell don't need anymore grass to water when people can't afford to water their lawns.

8/11/2015 3:11 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

Parks and recs sports teams for children.

8/11/2015 3:03 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

1. 10-15 years ago we heard the city was making a walking path around where the city burns limbs/leaves but that area still looks horrible - what happened? A path could be made with benches around it - make several nice/useable restrooms when people feel like they want to use!! This town has no public rest rooms - why?? 2. There are hundreds of children in the Wapato area - they need a swimming pool - like it used to be - I know the Yakama Tribe has paid expenses for the pool many times - in the meantime the pool sits!! 3. If the city is able to develop more areas for the people to use, build several picnic areas and charge for use as Union Gap does for that park by the Gap!! 4. Seems some of the "Laws of the City" only are for some - ex: Loud music (Continuous!!), yard sales, parking facing your vehicle the wrong way, parking a vehicle on the lawn by the front door!

8/11/2015 3:02 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

Nuestra idea es de que ustedes puedan abrir la puerta que tienen cerrado por la escuela pace. Ustedes la tienen cerrada con candado. Muchas personas les preguntaría si la abrieran por favor para que niños puedan jugar en el parque ustedes también la pueden abrir y cerrar por ejemplo, abrirla en la mañana, y cerrarla en la tarde. Y en el parque de la middle school, si pueden arreglar el camino, de donde personas caminan. Si lo mejoran será mejor para caminar en el pathway. Los baños también necesitan arreglo. Gracias.

8/11/2015 2:57 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

Activities for kids 3 to 20 years old. Walkways for all people (for exercise or for doctor recommended movement and cardio). Bicycle pathway along with foot trails. Protection of wildlife for people to see while walking; planting trees and bushes that feed wild life. Would you be kind to considerate telling each house owner to clean their sidewalks. There are excessive amount of thorns on the sidewalks. By doing that all people will feel more free to use their bicycles.

8/11/2015 2:52 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

Pienso que no se debe comprar más terreno sino mejorar las instalaciones actuales

8/11/2015 2:48 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

Me gusta la que hubieco coda creativas y nuestro hijos, un mejor parque de diversions. que por eso la juventad esta mal, no tener en que jurar y sal

8/11/2015 2:43 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

juegos de Agua para los ninos

8/11/2015 2:38 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

My wife and I go walking frequently - Lions Club Park Pathways. City should promote litter control. Have containers for recycling plastic bottles. Need for some around the housing project - weed control. Restrooms need maintenance (sanitary) attention.

7/17/2015 9:50 AM [View respondent's answers](#)

Mio pinion es gue lacui dad sevea mas verde porque un parque un parque se ve vien prque es verde perocidonde vivimos estaseco un parque s le so vrando ademas a penas podemos pagar logue llaesta mos paquando y camos a parque a paqgar mas y la ciudad sevea i gual creo notiene sentido. gracias

7/17/2015 9:47 AM [View respondent's answers](#)

Sad state of community center - rental fee should be lowered to affordable rate.

7/17/2015 9:45 AM [View respondent's answers](#)

Why do another park why not put the money into something else like the jail fix the outside so they can play ball sit down play cards or game. Update the all the old stores in town. I would like to thank the City Council for allowing all the new revenue for this community.

7/17/2015 9:39 AM [View respondent's answers](#)

Appendix D – Environmental Review



City of Wapato
705 East Third Street
Wapato WA 98951-1326

info@wapato-city.org
Phone: 509.877.2334
Fax: 509.877.3979

CITY OF WAPATO DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

Project Description

The City of Wapato is updating its Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan. This six-year plan will provide guidance and direction to Wapato's parks from 2016-2021. The application was considered complete for processing on January 15, 2016


Lead Agency: City of Wapato
Project Applicants: City of Wapato
Project Location: Wapato City Limits
Parcel Number(s): N/A
Date of Application: January 14, 2016

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

- There is no comment period for this DNS.
- This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in WAC 197-11-355. There is no further comment period on the DNS.
- This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the date below. Comments must be submitted by February 11, 2016.

Responsible official: Mayor Jesse Farias
Phone: (509) 877-2334
Address: 205 E. 3rd Street, Wapato, WA 98951

Date: January 28, 2016

Signature: 

- You may appeal this determination to:

The City of Wapato, at Wapato City Hall, no later than February 11, 2016, by filling out the appropriate form and submitting the applicable fee. You should be prepared to make specific factual objections. Contact the City of Wapato at 509-877-2334 to read or ask about the procedures for SEPA appeals.

- There is no agency appeal.

Council meets on the first and third Monday of each month.
El concilio se junta cada primer y tercer lunes de cada mes

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the [SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS \(part D\)](#). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: [2016-2021 City of Wapato Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan](#)
2. Name of applicant: [City of Wapato](#)
3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person: [Menglou Wang, 509-877-3622, 205 E 3rd St, Wapato, WA, 98951](#)

4. Date checklist prepared: January 14, 2016
5. Agency requesting checklist: City of Wapato
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): Final approval by City Council in March, 2016
7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. The Comprehensive Plan will outline Park and Recreation activities for the years 2016-2021.
8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal. N/A
9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. No
10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. None
11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.) The 2016-2021 Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan will provide guidance to the City of Wapato over the next 6 years.
12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist. This plan is for the incorporated city limits of Wapato.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a. General description of the site:

(circle one) Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other _____

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? The city of Wapato contains minimal slopes throughout the city limits.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)?
If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils. N/A

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe. N/A
- e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill. Any filling or excavation as part of a future project will be evaluated at that time.
- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe. N/A
- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)? Not known
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any: N/A

2. Air

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known. N/A
- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe. N/A
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any: None

3. Water

a. Surface Water:

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. N/A
- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans. N/A
- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material. N/A
- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. N/A
- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan. None of the current parks are within the 100-year floodplain.
- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. N/A

b. Ground Water:

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. N/A
- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. N/A

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe. N/A
- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. N/A
- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe. N/A

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any: N/A

4. Plants

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- shrubs
- grass
- pasture
- crop or grain
- Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
- wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
- water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? N/A

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. None Known

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any: N/A

- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site. None Known

5. Animals

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other

mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. None Known
- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. Migratory birds migrate down the adjacent Yakima River corridor.
- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: N/A
- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site. None Known

6. Energy and Natural Resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. N/A
- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe. N/A
- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: N/A

7. Environmental Health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe. None Known
- 1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses. N/A
 - 2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity. None Known
 - 3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project. N/A

- 4) Describe special emergency services that might be required. N/A
- 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any: N/A

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)? N/A
- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site. N/A
- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any: N/A

8. Land and Shoreline Use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe. N/A
- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use? N/A
 - 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how: N/A
- c. Describe any structures on the site. There are several structures throughout the park system.
- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what? No
- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site? All parks are zone PF – Public Facility
- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? All parks have a Future Land Use Map designation of Public.
- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? There are no shorelines within the Wapato city limits.
- h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify. N/A
- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project? N/A
- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? N/A

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: N/A

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any: N/A

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any: N/A

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. N/A

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. N/A

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: N/A

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed? N/A

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? N/A

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: N/A

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur? N/A

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views? N/A

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? N/A

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any: N/A

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? Wapato currently has 4 parks, totaling 52.03 acres.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. No

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any: N/A

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe. N/A
- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources. N/A
- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc. N/A
- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required. Any future park development will undergo a historic and cultural study, as necessary.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. N/A
- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop? N/A
- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate? N/A
- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private). N/A
- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe. N/A
- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates? N/A
- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe. N/A
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: The transportation impacts potential new park facilities will be addressed and reviewed at the time of planning and development.

15. Public Services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe. N/A
- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any. N/A

16. Utilities

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: N/A
electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system,
other _____
- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service,
and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might
be needed. N/A

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: 
Name of signee Menglou Wang
Position and Agency/Organization City of Wapato
Date Submitted: 01/14/2016

D. supplemental sheet for nonproject actions

- 1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?
Not likely.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are: All future proposals will undergo environmental review on an as-needed basis.

- 2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?
Not likely.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are: Future projects will be designed to have minimal to no impact on plants, animals, and fish.

- 3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?
Not likely.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are: N/A

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The plan will help to ensure the future of parks in Grandview.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are: N/A

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

No immediate impact.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are: Any future development near shorelines will undergo appropriate environmental review. There are no shorelines within the Wapato city limits.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

No immediate impact.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are: Potential future impacts will be assessed at the project level.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

Not applicable.